99701068

OFFICIAL FILE COPY

DO NOT SEND OUT

(Xerox necessary copies from this copy and PLACE BACK in FILE)

Under provisions of state law, this report is a public document. A copy of the report has been submitted to the audited, or reviewed, entity and other appropriate public officials. The report is available for public inspection at the Baton Rouge office of the Legislative Auditor and, where appropriate, at the office of the parish clerk of court.

Release Date MAR 1 1 1000

CITY OF SHREVEPORT

CITY COURT

SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

DECEMBER 31, 1998

CITY COURT

DECEMBER 31, 1998

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Controls	2-3
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance With State and Local Laws and Regulations	4
COMPONENT UNIT FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (COMBINED STATEMENTS-OVERVIEW)	
Combined Balance Sheet-All Fund Types and Account Group	5
Combined Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance-All Governmental Fund Types-Special Revenue Funds	6
Statement of General Fixed Assets	7
Notes to Financial Statements	8-11

Milton E. Kelley

Certified Public Accountant
FRED MOREAU, C.P.A., Manager

1400 Youree Drive (71101)
Post Office Box 5966
Shreveport, Louisiana 71135-5966
(318) 221-2481
Fax (318) 221-7331

March 1, 1999

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Kelly, Irwin, Alford and Collins Judges, City of Shreveport City Court Shreveport, Louisiana

I have audited the accompanying general purpose financial statements of The City Court of the City of Shreveport ("City Court") a component unit of the City of Shreveport, as of and for the year ended December 31, 1998, as listed in the table of contents. These general purpose financial statements are the responsibility of the City Court management. My responsibility is to express an opinion on these general purpose financial statements based on my audit.

I conducted my audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, the financial and compliance segments of Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and the Louisiana Governmental Audit Guide. Those standards require that I plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the general purpose financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the general purpose financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. I believe that my audit provides a reasonable basis for my opinion.

As discussed in the notes, the financial statements present only the Funds and Account Group of the City Court and are not intended to present fairly the financial position of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana, and the results of its operations and cash flows of its proprietary and similar trust fund types in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

In my opinion, the general purpose financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the City Court as of December 31, 1998, and the results of its operations for the year then ended in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

Willes E. Selly

Milton E. Kelley

FRED MOREAU, C.P.A., Manager

Certified Public Accountant

1400 Yource Drive (71101)
Post Office Box 5966
Shreveport, Louisiana 71135-5966
(318) 221-2481
Fax (318) 221-7331

March 1, 1999

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL STRUCTURE BASED ON AN AUDIT OF GENERAL PURPOSE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

The Honorable Kelly, Irwin, Alford and Collins Judges, City of Shreveport City Court Shreveport, Louisiana

I have audited the component unit financial statements of City Court, City of Shreveport, Louisiana, for the year ended December 31, 1998, and have issued my report thereon dated March 1, 1999.

I have conducted my audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that I plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the general purpose financial statements are free of material misstatement.

The management of the City Court is responsible for establishing and maintaining an internal control structure. In fulfilling this responsibility, estimates and judgments by management are required to assess the expected benefits and related costs of internal control structure policies and procedures. The objectives of an internal control structure are to provide management with reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that assets are safeguarded against loss from unauthorized use or disposition, and that transactions are executed in accordance with management's authorization and recorded properly to permit the preparation of general purpose financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Because of inherent limitations in any system of internal control structure, errors or irregularities may nevertheless occur and not be detected. Also, projection of any evaluation of the structure to future periods is subject to the risk that procedures may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the effectiveness of the design and operation of policies and procedures may deteriorate.

The Honorable Kelly, Irwin, Alford and Collins Judges, City of Shreveport City Court March 1, 1999
Page 2

In planning and performing my audit of the general purpose financial statements of the City Court for the year ended December 31, 1998, I obtained an understanding of the internal control structure. With respect to the internal control structure, I obtained an understanding of the design of relevant policies and procedures and whether they have been placed in operation, and I assessed control risk in order to determine my auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing my opinion on the general purpose financial statements and not to provide an opinion on the internal control structure. Accordingly, I do not express such an opinion.

I noted certain matters involving the internal control structure and its operation that I consider to be reportable conditions under the standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to my attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control structure that, in my judgement, could adversely affect the entity's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the general purpose financial statements.

There is little segregation of duties with respect to control over certain accounting functions. This condition is caused by a limited operating budget and administrative staff. A small administrative staff size results in incompatible duties being performed by the same person.

A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control structure elements does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that errors or irregularities in amounts that would be material in relation to the general purpose financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions.

My consideration of the internal control structure would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control structure that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses as defined above. However, I believe none of the reportable conditions described above is a material weakness.

This report is intended for the information of management and the City Council of the City of Shreveport. However, this report is a matter of public record, and its distribution is not limited.

Willow E. Kelley

Milton E. Kelley

Certified Public Accountant
FRED MOREAU, C.P.A., Manager

1400 Yource Drive (71101)
Post Office Box 5966
Shreveport, Louisiana 71135-5966
(318) 221-2481
Fax (318) 221-7331
March 1, 1999

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH STATE AND LOCAL LAWS AND REGULATIONS

The Honorable Kelly, Irwin, Alford and Collins Judges, City of Shreveport City Court Shreveport, Louisiana

I have audited the accompanying component unit financial statements of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana, City Court, as of December 31, 1998, and for the year then ended and have issued my report thereon dated March 1, 1999. My examination was made in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and the standards for financial and compliance audits contained in the <u>Standards for Audit of Governmental Organizations</u>, <u>Programs</u>, <u>Activities</u>, and <u>Functions</u>, issued by the U.S. General Accounting Office, and accordingly included such tests of the accounting records and such other auditing procedures as I considered necessary in the circumstances.

The Judges of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana, City Court and the Clerk of Court are responsible for the Court's compliance with laws and regulations. In connection with my examination referred to above, I selected and tested transactions and records to determine the Court's compliance with laws and regulations noncompliance with which could have a material effect on the component unit financial statements of the Court.

The results of my tests indicate that for the items tested, the City of Shreveport, Louisiana, City Court, complied with those provisions of laws and regulations noncompliance with which could have a material effect on the component unit financial statements. Nothing came to my attention that caused me to believe that for the items not tested the City of Shreveport, Louisiana, City Court, was not in compliance with laws or regulations noncompliance with which could have a material effect on the Court's component unit financial statements.

Mills & Killey

COMPONENT UNIT FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (COMBINED STATEMENTS-OVERVIEW)

CITY COURT

COMBINED BALANCE SHEET-ALL FUND TYPES

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998

	Governmental Fund Type Special Revenue Funds	Fiduciary Fund Type Agency	Account Group General Fixed Assets	Memo Only 1998
ASSETS				
Cash in bank Computer equipment Office equipment Office furniture Building improvements	2,074,031	593,968	171,704 121,459 92,610 94,994	2,667,999 171,704 121,459 92,610 94,994
Total assets	2,074,031	593,968	480,767	3,148,766
LIABILITIES AND FUND EQUITY				
Liabilities: Court cost advances-held for future disposition Total liabilities		593,968 593,968		<u>593,968</u> 593,968
Fund equity: Investment in general fixed assets Fund balance: Unreserved-undesignated	2,074,031		480,767	480,767 2,074,031
Total fund equity	2,074,031		480,767	2,554,798
Total liabilities and fund equity	2,074,031	593,968	480,767	3,148,766

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

CITY COURT

COMBINED STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE-ALL GOVERNMENTAL FUND TYPES-SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998

	<u>1998</u>
Revenue: Court fees Interest	626,078
Total revenues	699,491
Expenditures: Administrative Capital outlays Computer equipment Office equipment Office furniture Building improvements Total capital outlays Total expenditures	262,929 37,186 16,607 2,724 31,629 88,146 351,075
Excess of revenues over expenditures	348,416
Fund balance-beginning	1,725,615
Fund balance-ending	<u>2,074,031</u>

CITY COURT

STATEMENT OF GENERAL FIXED ASSETS

AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1998

General fixed assets:	
Computer equipment	171,704
Office equipment	121,459
Office furniture	92,610
Building improvements	94,994
Total general fixed assets	<u>480,767</u>
Investment in general fixed assets:	
Cost fund	346,850
Criminal court fund	131,984
Probation fund	1,933
	480,767

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN GENERAL FIXED ASSETS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998

	Beginning Balance	Additions	Deletions	Ending Balance
General fixed assets:				
Computer equipment	134,518	37,186		171,704
Office equipment	104,852	16,607		121,459
Office furniture	89,886	2,724		92,610
Building improvements	63,365	31,629		94,994
Total general fixed assets	392,621	88,146		480,767

CITY OF SHREVEPORT CITY COURT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

<u>AT</u>

DECEMBER 31, 1998

1. Summary of significant accounting policies:

The accounting and reporting policies of the City of Shreveport-City Court conform to generally accepted accounting principles as applicable to governments. Such accounting and reporting procedures also conform to the requirements of Louisiana Revised Statutes 24:517 and to guides set forth in the Louisiana Municipal Audit and Accounting Guide, and to the industry audit guide, Audits of State and Local Governmental Units.

The following is a summary of certain significant accounting policies.

Financial reporting entity:

This report includes all Funds which are controlled by or dependent on the City Court Judges. Control by or dependence on the City Court Judges was determined on the basis of budget adoption, election or appointment of governing body, and other general oversight responsibility.

Based on the foregoing criteria, the City Court has been determined to be a component unit of the City of Shreveport. The Judges are elected officials. However, the City of Shreveport provides facilities, approves the budget and appropriates funds for the operation of the City Court.

Fund accounting:

The accounts of the City Court are organized on the basis of funds, each of which is considered a separate accounting entity. The operations of each fund are accounted for with a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprises its assets, liabilities, fund equity, revenues and expenditures, or expense as appropriate. Government resources are allocated to and accounted for in individual funds based upon the purposes for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled. The various funds are grouped, in the financial statements in this report, into two generic fund types and two broad fund categories as follows:

Governmental Fund:

Special Revenue Funds:

Special Revenue Funds are used to account for the proceeds of specific revenue resources (other than special assessments, expendable trusts or major capital projects) that are legally restricted to expenditures for specific purposes.

Fiduciary Fund:

Agency Funds:

Agency Funds are used to account for assets held by the City Court as an agent for individuals, private organizations, other governments and/or other funds. Agency Funds are custodial in nature (assets equal liabilities) and do not involve measurement of or results of operations.

1. Summary of significant accounting policies: (continued)

Civil Court Cost Fund:

To account for the collection and ultimate disposition of court costs advanced by citizens who have filed a civil suit in City Court. Court costs are remitted to the City of Shreveport General Fund, fees for service of legal notice are remitted to the City Marshal and other costs, incurred by the City Court, incident to the suit are paid from these advances for the benefit of the citizens. Any unexpended funds advanced are returned to the citizens upon final resolution of their lawsuit.

Fixed assets:

The accounting and reporting treatment applied to the fixed assets associated with a fund are determined by its measurement focus.

All governmental fund type operations are accounted for on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus and only current assets and current liabilities are generally included on their balance sheets.

Fixed assets used in governmental fund type operations (general fixed assets) are accounted for in the General Fixed Assets Account Group and are recorded as expenditures in the governmental fund types when purchased. All fixed assets are stated at historical cost. No depreciation has been provided on general fixed assets.

No records of fixed assets acquired from the Judges' cost fund was maintained prior to 1979.

Basis of accounting:

Basis of accounting refers to when revenues and expenditures or expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. Basis of accounting relates to the timing of the measurements made, regardless of the measurement focus applied.

All governmental funds are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Their revenues are recognized when they become measureable and available as net current assets. Taxpayer-assessed income, gross receipts, and sales taxes are considered "measureable" when in the hands of collecting governments and are recognized as revenue at that time. Anticipated refunds of such taxes are recorded as liabilities and reductions of revenue when they are measureable and their validity seems certain.

Expenditures are generally recognized under the modified accrual basis of accounting when the related fund liability is incurred.

Budgets and budgetary accounting:

The City Court has not adopted a budget for the Special Revenue fund. The City Court performs only a custodial function in the case of agency funds and therefore a budget for these funds is not appropriate. In the event that the City Court were to have encumbrances or commitments relating to executory contracts for goods or services, they would be accounted for and reported consistently.

1. Summary of significant accounting policies: (continued)

Cash:

The City Court classifies demand and time deposits as cash. The carrying amount of the deposits under control of the City Court with financial institutions was \$2,667,999 and the bank balance was \$2,705,039. The bank balance is categorized as follows:

Amount insured by the FDIC

1,063,381

Amount collateralized with securities held by pledging financial institution's trust department in City Court's name (Category 2, GASB Statement No. 3)

1,641,658

Total bank balance

2,705,039

Total column on combined statement - overview:

Total column on the combined statement-all fund types-overview is captioned Memorandum Only to indicate that it is presented only to facilitate financial analysis. Data in this column does not present financial position in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Neither is such data comparable to a consolidation. Interfund eliminations have not been made in the aggregation of this data.

2. Court fees:

This is a fee assessed by statute which is for the use of the Judges as they direct.

3. <u>Pension plan</u>:

The Judges are covered by the Louisiana Employees Retirement System. The Judges are required to contribute 11% of their salary annually to the retirement system. Actuarial data to determine any unfunded liability of the system is not available.

The secretaries, clerk of court and all deputy clerks of the Court are covered by The City of Shreveport Employees Retirement System (ERS), a single employer plan. The details of this retirement system are contained in the General Purpose Financial Statements of The City of Shreveport.

4. Fund types or portions of funds omitted from the financial statements:

The General Fund for the municipal court has been omitted from the component unit financial statements. The City Council appropriates operating expenses for the Court.

The general fixed assets account group financial statements included in this report reflect only fixed assets acquired from proceeds of the Judges' Cost Fund and the Criminal Fund. All other fixed assets utilized by the Court were purchased from other resources of the City of Shreveport.

Page 4

5. Changes in agency fund deposits due others:

A summary of changes in agency fund deposits due others follows:

	Balances <u>Beginning</u>	Additions	Deletions	Balances Ending
Agency Fund	<u>521,272</u>	690,175	617,479	<u>593,968</u>
Totals	521,272	690,175	617,479	593,968

6. <u>Interest costs on fixed assets</u>:

The City Court pays no interest on fixed assets and therefore does not capitalize interest costs on fixed assets.