

**OFFICIAL  
FILE COPY  
DO NOT REMOVE**

When making  
copies from this  
copy and PLACE  
label in file.

**LOUISIANA SHERIFFS' AUTOMOBILE  
RISK PROGRAM**

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND

SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULES

June 30, 1997

2025 RELEASE UNDER E.O. 14176

Under provisions of state law, this report is a public document. A copy of the report has been submitted to the auditor, or reviewed, entity and other appropriate public officials. The report is available for public inspection at the Baton Rouge office of the Legislative Auditor and, where appropriate, at the office of the parish clerk of court.

Release Date FEB 24 2009

**LOUISIANA SHERIFFS' AUTOMOBILE RISK PROGRAM**

Baton Rouge, Louisiana

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

JUNE 30, 1967

	Page
<b>INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT</b>	1
<b>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</b>	
Statements of Financial Position	2
Statements of Activities	3
Statements of Cash Flows	4
Notes to Financial Statements	5-15
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION</b>	
Independent Auditor's Report on Supplemental Information	16
Comparative Schedule of Claims Development, Earned Membership Fees, and Unallocated Expenses	17-18
<b>OTHER REPORTS REQUIRED BY GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS</b>	
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Structure in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards	19-20
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance With Laws and Regulations in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards	21

**Greg A. Kennedy, CPA (AICPA)**

## GREG A. KENNEDY

*Certified Public Accountant*  
*CA Professional Accounting Corporation*

### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Board of Managers  
Louisiana Sheriff's Automobile Risk Program  
Baton Rouge, Louisiana

I have audited the accompanying statement of financial position of Louisiana Sheriff's Automobile Risk Program as of June 30, 1997, and the related statements of activities and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Program's management. My responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on my audit.

I conducted my audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards; Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. These standards require that I plan and perform my audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. I believe that my audit provides a reasonable basis for my opinion.

In my opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Louisiana Sheriff's Automobile Risk Program as of June 30, 1997, and the changes in its net deficit and its cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, I have also issued a report dated December 4, 1997, on my consideration of the Louisiana Sheriff's Automobile Risk Program's internal control structure and a report dated December 4, 1997, on its compliance with laws and regulations.

The Louisiana Sheriff's Automobile Risk Program has a cumulative net deficit as of June 30, 1997. Management's plans regarding this matter is described in Note 2 to the financial statements.



CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT

December 4, 1997

**LOUISIANA SHERIFFS' AUTOMOBILE FUND PROGRAM**  
**STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION**  
**JUNE 30, 1997**

With Comparative Data for 1996

<b>ASSETS</b>	<u>1997</u>	<u>1996</u>
Investments	\$ 2,420,000	\$ 1,884,200
Cash and cash equivalents	70,527	262,100
Account receivable	15,250	23,717
Receivables from members	<u>0</u>	<u>1,877</u>
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$ 2,521,800</b>	<b>\$ 2,172,000</b>
 <b>LIABILITIES AND NET DEFICIT</b>		
<b>LIABILITIES</b>		
Claims reserves	\$ 5,193,055	\$ 3,800,000
Reserve for unallocated loss adjustment expenses	50,000	60,000
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	<u>45,782</u>	<u>0</u>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES</b>	<b>5,288,842</b>	<b>3,860,000</b>
 <b>NET DEFICIT</b>		
Unrecovered operating	<u>(2,767,042)</u>	<u>(1,688,000)</u>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND NET DEFICIT</b>	<b>\$ 2,521,800</b>	<b>\$ 2,172,000</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

**LOUISIANA BENEFITS' AUTO-ORAL RISK PROGRAM**  
**STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES**  
**FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1997**

(With Comparative Data for 1996)

	1997	1996
<b>REVENUE AND OTHER SUPPORT</b>		
Membership contributions:		
Liability premiums	\$ 2,261,553	\$ 2,293,174
Excess premiums	446,573	423,200
Physical damage premiums	167,874	125,817
Investment income	326,026	188,127
Total revenue and other support	3,202,026	2,990,338
<b>EXPENSES</b>		
Program expenses:		
Claims and claim expenses paid on current losses	543,017	397,508
Established claims expense	1,283,854	1,560,628
Adjustment to prior years' claim reserves	1,250,325	947,725
Excess insurance premiums	458,757	446,867
Unallocated loss adjustment expense (credit)	(3,088)	18,400
Total program expenses	3,632,865	3,281,128
Supporting services:		
Administration fee	201,261	124,264
LSA administration fee	66,000	85,893
Other general and administrative	25,525	18,128
Total supporting services	292,786	228,285
Total expenses	3,925,651	3,509,413
Change in net deficit	(661,348)	(127,024)
<b>NET DEFICIT</b>		
June 30, 1996	(1,224,628)	(1,097,484)
June 30, 1997	\$ (2,187,850)	\$ (1,216,508)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

**LOUISIANA SHEFF'S AUTOMOBILE RISK PROGRAM**  
**STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS**  
**FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1997**

(With Comparative Data for 1996)

	1997	1996
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Change in net deficit	\$ 641,348	\$ 117,024
Adjustments to reconcile change in net deficit to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Change in operating assets and liabilities:		
Approved interest receivable	8,482	113,584
Receivables from members	1,877	6,280
Claims reserve	1,252,326	943,733
Reserve for unallocated loss adjustment expenses	17,000	16,400
Due to Louisiana Sheff's Risk Management Program	0	66,000
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	<u>45,387</u>	<u>61,080</u>
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>389,803</u>	<u>147,442</u>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Net increase in investments	<u>(912,400)</u>	<u>(212,000)</u>
<b>NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</b>	(522,597)	(64,558)
<b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS:</b>		
June 30, 1996	<u>282,830</u>	<u>335,347</u>
June 30, 1997	<u>\$ 25,233</u>	<u>\$ 270,789</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

**LOUISIANA BENEFITS' AUTOMOBILE LOSS PROGRAM**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**NOTE 1. DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM**

The Louisiana Benefits' Automobile Loss Program (LABAMP), a public entity risk pool, was created effective July 1, 1989. The purpose of the Program is to provide members of the Louisiana Benefits' Association a automobile liability insurance.

The Program issues the first \$100,000/\$200,000/\$100,000 layer of coverage and affords its individual members the option of purchasing excess automobile liability coverage through outside underwriters for claims in excess of the amount retained. In addition, the program provides coverage for medical payments coverage with a \$2,000 limit and uninsured motorist coverage at various limits.

Individual members' optional excess automobile liability coverage is offered for the following limits:

- a. \$500,000 combined single limit
- a. \$1,000,000 combined single limit
- a. \$2,000,000 combined single limit
- a. \$1,000,000 combined single limit

Excess automobile liability coverage was provided through Casco and National Business Company for 1987 and 1990.

The responsibility for managing the affairs of LABAMP rests with the Board of Managers consisting of eight benefits elected by a majority vote of the benefits in each Congressional District. Managers are elected for staggered terms of four years and may be re-elected to any number of successive terms.

Mer-Tec Systems, Inc. serves as the administrator of the Program. The administrator's responsibilities include, but are not limited to, underwriting, policy issuance, policy holder services and claims management services. In addition, the administrator oversees and manages the claim services and uses professional adjustment firms in Louisiana for field services.

The Louisiana Benefits' Association is in charge of the accounting and bookkeeping functions in addition to various administrative duties.

**NOTE 2. MANAGEMENT'S PLANS FOR FUTURE OPERATIONS**

The administrator has revised the methodology for establishing claim reserves and has implemented a claim system to facilitate prompt claims service. In an effort to control litigation costs, all claims will given a structured set of guidelines and all litigation bills are audited monthly.

Management of the Program believes that steps to revive the Program's operating and financial requirements such as increasing future premium contributions and the continuation of risk prevention programs will be sufficient to provide the Program with the ability to meet its present and future obligations and to eliminate the Program's deficit.

**NOTE 3. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

**Financial Statement Presentation**

In 1980, the Program elected to adopt Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 107, "Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Organizations." Under SFAS No. 107, the Program is required to report information regarding its financial position and activities according to three classes of net assets: unrestricted net assets, temporarily restricted net assets, and permanently restricted net assets. In addition, the Program is required to present a statement of cash flows.

**Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from these estimates.

**Investments**

The Program accounts for its investments under SFAS No. 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities." SFAS No. 115 addresses the accounting and reporting for investments in equity securities that have readily determinable fair values and all investments in debt securities. These investments are to be classified into the following categories:

- 1) Held-to-maturity securities reported at amortized cost;
- 2) Trading securities reported at fair value with unrealized gains and losses included in earnings; or
- 3) Securities available-for-sale reported at fair value with unrealized gains and losses reported as separate component of net income.

The Program's investments are classified and accounted for as held-to-maturity. Gains and losses on the ultimate sale of securities are determined using the specific identification method. Premiums and discounts are amortized into investment income using a level yield method.



#### Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the statements of cash flows, the Program considers all highly liquid investments maturing for current use within a limited maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

#### Reserve for Unallocated Loss Adjustment Expenses

The reserve for unallocated loss adjustment expenses represents the estimated cost to be incurred with respect to the settlement of claims in process and claims incurred but not reported. Management estimates this liability at each year end based upon cost experience. The change in the liability each year is reflected in current earnings.

#### Excess Insurance

The Program purchases excess insurance only for individual members who elect to assume the excess liability to reduce their exposure to large losses. The purpose of excess insurance is to transfer a portion of the risk from the insured to the excess insurer. The Program does not report liabilities which are covered by excess insurance.

#### Income Taxes

No provision for income taxes has been made since the receipts of the Program are considered public moneys which are exempt from Federal and State income taxes. The Program has not accepted nor has it received a letter of determination from the Internal Revenue Service, holding it that it qualifies as a not-for-profit entity under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code.

#### NOTE 4. INVESTMENTS

Investments as of June 30, 1997 and 1998 are summarized as follows:

	June 30, 1997	
	Amortized Cost	Approximate Market Value
<b>Debt Securities</b>		
FIMM PCOL, maturing September 1, 2007, with an interest rate of 6.00%	0	97,888
FIMM PCOL, maturing September 1, 2007, with an interest rate of 6.00%	18,000	18,000
FIMM PCOL, maturing September 1, 2003, with an interest rate of 6.00%	176,000	173,708

FRMC POCI, maturing December 1, 2003, with an interest rate of 8.00%	95,000	98,850
FRMC POCI, maturing December 1, 2010, with an interest rate of 8.00%	90,000	98,210
FRMC POCI, maturing July 9, 2003, with an interest rate of 8.20%	100,000	97,940
FRMC DEBENTURE, maturing January 24, 2001, with an interest rate of 8.00%	95,000	93,834
FRMC DEBENTURE, maturing February 21, 2001, with an interest rate of 8.20%	38,000	38,500
FRMC DEBENTURE, maturing August 29, 2006, with an interest rate of 7.07%	40,000	34,542
FRMC DEBENTURE, maturing February 9, 2006, with an interest rate of 8.00%	110,000	108,446
FRMC DEBENTURE, maturing June 24, 2006, with an interest rate of 7.10%	100,000	98,440
FRMC DEBENTURE, maturing February 7, 2006, with an interest rate of 8.20%	200,000	188,280
FRMC DEBENTURE, maturing February 16, 2011, with an interest rate of 7.07%	60,000	48,890
FRMA POCI, maturing December 1, 2002, with an interest rate of 8.00%	95,000	91,944
FRMA POCI, maturing April 9, 2003, with an interest rate of 8.00%	80,000	80,200
FRMA POCI, maturing April 9, 2011, with an interest rate of 8.10%	200,000	184,470

FMMB FCDL, maturing March 1, 2012, with an interest rate of 7.00%	50,000	29,712
FMMB DEBENTURE, maturing November 12, 2003, with an interest rate of 6.25%	10,000	9,684
FMMB MEDIUM TERM NOTE, maturing December 30, 1998, with an interest rate of 5.40%	200,000	180,230
SALEB 90% BDTL, maturing September 29, 1998, with a floating interest rate	65,000	63,808
SALEB 90% NOTE, maturing January 28, 2000, with a floating interest rate	30,000	29,564
U.S. TREASURY NOTE, maturing January 31, 1999, with an interest rate of 5.00%	75,000	73,675
U.S. TREASURY NOTE, maturing October 15, 1999, with an interest rate of 5.00%	<u>60,000</u>	<u>58,388</u>
Total debt securities	<u>3,048,000</u>	<u>3,111,423</u>

#### Equity Securities

Abbott Laboratories, 70 shares	4,675	4,675
Alstare, 70 shares	3,184	3,110
AMP, Inc., 180 shares	8,180	7,933
Amoco, 45 shares	3,348	3,912
Atlanta Richfield, 50 shares	3,350	3,325
BCR, Inc., 200 shares	8,650	8,800
Baker Hughes, 100 shares	3,127	3,808
Banc One, 250 shares	10,580	11,141
Beaumont & Loring, 200 shares	8,381	8,426
Bechtel International, 200 shares	12,072	11,508
Celanese, Inc., 90 shares	10,180	9,804
Chemical & Supply, 500 shares	11,130	11,203
Dartmouth-Hulston, 60 shares	4,065	4,258
De Pats, 80 shares	5,000	5,000
Ford Motor, 200 shares	7,600	7,800
Frontier Corp., 400 shares	7,040	7,375

GTI Corp., 280 shares	12,100	12,285
Green Western Financial, 300 shares	10,100	10,150
Halliburton Co., 50 shares	3,110	4,155
Marlin Corp., 50 shares	2,254	4,200
Hecla Co., 180 shares	8,900	8,900
Lambert Merix, 80 shares	3,800	8,285
Molybdenum Corp., 400 shares	8,800	10,000
Minerals, 240 shares	2,875	7,045
Montrose, 25 shares	8,800	5,700
Powder Milling, 150 shares	4,250	4,280
Reynolds Metals, 75 shares	300	350
Peco Energy, 525 shares	2,142	2,625
Raytheon, 140 shares	2,050	7,140
Royal Dutch Petroleum, 100 shares	5,000	5,435
SBC Communications, 40 shares	2,500	2,475
Tarant Flourolite, 250 shares	8,200	7,375
Tecumseh, Inc., 115 shares	2,000	7,000
Terra Int'l, 450 shares	4,750	5,205
Toledo, 75 shares	3,275	3,244
USA Mortgage, 200 shares	7,200	7,218
Utah Tax, 400 shares	10,000	10,200
World Management, 280 shares	8,100	8,612
Worley's International, 440 shares	10,155	11,412
Williams Co., 200 shares	8,000	8,355
	<u>280,000</u>	<u>282,500</u>
TOTAL EQUITY SECURITIES	<u>280,000</u>	<u>282,500</u>
	<u>\$ 2,420,000</u>	<u>\$ 2,283,800</u>

June 30, 1989

	Amount	Approximate
	Cost	Market
		Value

**Debt Securities**

FRANC DEBTNOTE, maturing February 23, 2001, with an interest rate of 8.70%	\$	87,100	\$	80,800
FRANC DEBTNOTE, maturing March 8, 1989, with an interest rate of 8.8%		14,825		14,757
FRANC DEBTNOTE, maturing July 8, 2003, with an interest rate of 8.35%		88,234		88,440

FHBA CERTIFICATE, maturing November 12, 2009, with an interest rate of 0.70%	9,529	9,421
FHBA MEDIUM TERM NOTE, maturing August 25, 1999, with an interest rate of 3.30%	37,265	37,420
FHBA MEDIUM TERM NOTE, maturing December 30, 1998, with an interest rate of 5.42%	195,000	184,200
FHBA CPO, maturing December 1, 2010, with an interest rate of 5.00%	31,301	31,790
FHBA CPO, maturing September 1, 2002, with an interest rate of 5.00%	106,607	100,688
FHBA CPO, maturing December 1, 2000, with an interest rate of 5.00%	66,243	65,442
FHBA CPO, maturing April 5, 2011, with an interest rate of 5.50%	215,462	208,234
FHBA DEBENTURE, maturing February 21, 2001, with an interest rate of 5.25%	29,828	32,864
FHBA DEBENTURE, maturing August 25, 2006, with an interest rate of 7.00%	35,168	33,850
FHBA DEBENTURE, maturing February 5, 2000, with an interest rate of 5.82%	221,848	200,816
DEBA NOTE, maturing February 14, 1997, with a floating interest rate	60,102	60,102
U.S. TREASURY NOTE, maturing August 15, 2000, with an interest rate of 5.00%	21,468	23,260
U.S. TREASURY NOTE, maturing August 21, 1997, with an interest rate of 5.00%	30,962	28,827

U.S. TREASURY NOTE, maturing October 15, 1999, with an interest rate of 8.00%	61,870	66,438
U.S. TREASURY NOTE, maturing February 15, 2000, with an interest rate of 8.25%	50,581	29,478
U.S. TREASURY NOTE, maturing April 15, 2000, with an interest rate of 8.50%	25,073	33,901
U.S. TREASURY NOTE, maturing January 31, 1999, with an interest rate of 8.00%	101,650	87,030
SI MA DEBENTURE, maturing September 28, 1999, with a variable interest rate	<u>60,000</u>	<u>60,000</u>
Total debt securities	<u>1,808,798</u>	<u>1,750,838</u>

#### Equity Securities

ACI, Ltd., 170 shares	3,284	3,730
Aetna, 70 shares	3,704	3,184
AMP, 70 shares	2,150	3,009
Amoco, 45 shares	3,338	3,748
Asteris Holdings, 70 shares	2,886	3,058
Baker Hughes, 100 shares	3,127	3,788
Central & Southwest, 120 shares	3,383	3,465
Central, 60 shares	3,687	3,318
Cypress Amco, 130 shares	3,516	3,874
Diamond Shamrock, 800 shares	3,089	2,898
DuPont, 40 shares	3,382	3,166
First Virginia Bank, 75 shares	3,150	3,038
CVI, 40 shares	1,751	1,798
Halleburton, 80 shares	3,170	3,330
Harris Corporation, 80 shares	3,284	3,050
Levitt, 160 shares	3,308	3,376
Pace Energy, 120 shares	3,143	3,250
Texas Industries, 160 shares	3,208	3,200
Texas Inc., 200 shares	3,072	3,840
Texas Instruments, 60 shares	3,341	3,833
Torchmark, 75 shares	3,216	3,281
USX International, 160 shares	3,330	3,009

USA Steel, 150 shares	3,355	3,121
Union Tea Pck., 150 shares	<u>3,312</u>	<u>3,275</u>
TOTAL equity securities	<u>38,000</u>	<u>34,188</u>
	\$ <u>1,884,204</u>	\$ <u>1,827,888</u>

A summary of investment securities as of June 30, 1957 follows:

	American Cost	Income Unrealized Gains	Share Unrealized Losses	Approximate Market Value
FNMA	\$ 618,000	-	10,664	\$ 607,336
FHLMC	1,304,000	2,150	26,000	1,279,404
SLMA	88,000	-	5,139	82,870
U.S. TREASURIES	131,000	-	1,707	129,293
EDUTICS	<u>258,000</u>	<u>17,130</u>	<u>3,248</u>	<u>261,882</u>
	\$ <u>2,428,000</u>	<u>19,410</u>	<u>52,171</u>	\$ <u>2,389,392</u>

The scheduled maturities of debt securities as of June 30, 1957 are as follows:

	American Cost	Approximate Market Value
Due from one year to five years	\$ 678,000	\$ 653,788
Due from five to ten years	1,110,000	1,094,458
Due after ten years	<u>330,000</u>	<u>200,187</u>
	\$ <u>2,118,000</u>	\$ <u>2,311,433</u>

FNMA, FHLMC and SLMA mortgage-backed securities guaranteed by the Federal National Mortgage Association, a Federal corporation and stockholder owned corporation subject to supervision by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development.

EDUTICS MACS-FHLMC mortgage participation certificates guaranteed by the Fidelity Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, public utility, government-sponsored enterprise created pursuant to an Act of Congress.

**NOTE 5- RESERVES FOR CLAIMS**

The Program utilized the services of an independent actuarial firm to determine the estimated reserves for claims as of June 30, 1987 and 1988.

**NOTE 6- UNPAID CLAIMS LIABILITIES**

The Program establishes a liability for reported insured events, which includes estimates of cash future payments of losses and net claim adjustment expenses. The following claim liabilities changes in those appropriate liabilities for the Program:

	<u>1987</u>	<u>1988</u>
Unpaid claims and claim adjustment expenses at the beginning of the year	\$ <u>3,988,332</u>	\$ <u>3,672,388</u>
Incurred claims and claim adjustment expenses:		
Provision for insured events of current year	1,846,821	1,846,138
Provision in payment for insured events of prior years	<u>1,897,628</u>	<u>2,85,000</u>
Total incurred claims and claim expenses	<u>3,820,497</u>	<u>3,275,138</u>
Payments:		
Claims and claim adjustment expenses attributable to insured events of the current year	(897,015)	(887,508)
Claims and claim adjustment expenses attributable to insured events of prior years	<u>(2,651,136)</u>	<u>(1,462,481)</u>
Total claim payments	<u>(3,528,132)</u>	<u>(1,649,891)</u>
Unpaid claims and claim adjustment expenses at end of year	\$ <u>3,320,695</u>	\$ <u>3,988,635</u>

As of June 30, 1988, approximately \$5,898,412 of unpaid claims and claim adjustment expenses are presented at their net present value of \$1,542,846. These claims were discounted at annual rates of five percent.

**NOTE 7- EXCESS INSURANCE AND OTHER**

A contingent liability exists which could become a liability in the unlikely event that all or any of the insurance companies which provide excess insurance



for the Program assets, fail to settle or become unable to meet their obligations under the excess insurance contracts. In the event of such occurrence, the Program's members could be responsible for such defaulted amounts. In addition, the Program's members could be responsible for the portion of the Program's retention of claims if the Program is unable to meet its obligations.

**NOTE 6: FAIR VALUES OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

The Program has a number of financial instruments, most of which are held for trading purposes. The Program estimates that the fair value of all financial instruments, with the exception of investments (see Note 4), at June 30, 1997 does not differ materially from the appropriate closing values of its financial statements recorded in the accompanying statement of financial position. Considerable judgment is necessarily required in interpreting market data to develop the estimates of fair value, and, accordingly, the estimates are not necessarily a reflection of the amounts that the Program could realize in a current market exchange.

**NOTE 7: CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS**

As of June 30, 1997 and 1996, respectively, the Program had cash and cash equivalents (bank balances) of 1,175,081 and 432,122 as follows:

	<u>1997</u>	<u>1996</u>
General deposits	\$ <u>125,081</u>	\$ <u>432,122</u>
Cash and cash equivalents of deposit holders at June 30, 1997 and 1996, are collateralized as follows:		
Bank balances	\$ <u>125,081</u>	\$ <u>432,122</u>
Federal deposit insurance and other security	\$ <u>115,001</u>	\$ <u>432,122</u>
Uninsured portion	\$ <u>-</u>	\$ <u>-</u>

**NOTE 8: LITIGATION**

At June 30, 1997, the Program was not involved in litigation either as plaintiff or defendant except for litigation involving claims in the ordinary course of its operations.

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

**GREG A. KENNEDY**

*Certified Public Accountant  
Of Professional Accounting Corporation*

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

**Board of Managers  
Louisiana Sheriff's Automobile Risk Program  
Baton Rouge, Louisiana**

My examination was made primarily to enable me to express an overall opinion on the basic financial statements of Louisiana Sheriff's Automobile Risk Program for the year ended June 30, 1997, which are presented in the preceding sections of this report.

The comparative schedule of claim development - earned membership fees, and unallocated expenses for the six year period ended June 30, 1997 as shown on pages 17 and 18, is required supplemental information and in my opinion is fairly presented in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.



**CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT**

**Baton Rouge, Louisiana  
December 4, 1997**

**LOUISIANA ENERGY-AUTOMOBILE RISK PROGRAM**  
 Comparative Schedule of Cash Development, Renewal-Maintenance Fees,  
 and Indemnified Expenses

For the Five Year Period Ending June 30, 1992

	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997
1. Annual maintenance fees and renewal-maintenance fees of insureds less expense premiums	\$ 1,413,283	\$ 2,084,476	\$ 2,305,827	\$ 2,305,827	\$ 2,305,827	\$ 2,305,827	\$ 2,305,827	\$ 2,305,827	\$ 2,305,827	\$ 2,305,827	\$ 2,305,827
2. Indemnified expenses	318,431	300,844	319,588	319,588	319,588	319,588	319,588	319,588	319,588	319,588	319,588
3. Estimated increased claims and interest, net of policy year	1,503,855	2,080,823	1,204,158	1,000,892	1,000,892	1,000,892	1,000,892	1,000,892	1,000,892	1,000,892	1,000,892
4. Cumulative total claims to date of policy year	300,000	380,188	380,608	380,608	380,608	380,608	380,608	380,608	380,608	380,608	380,608
Five year total	1,807,489	2,465,320	3,510,005	3,386,728	3,386,728	3,386,728	3,386,728	3,386,728	3,386,728	3,386,728	3,386,728
Two years total	1,814,765	1,447,336	1,338,888	658,073	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Three years total	2,302,844	2,399,259	1,112,523	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Four years total	2,282,780	1,004,021	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Five years total	2,295,241	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. Reassessed incurred claims and interest 1	1,503,855	2,080,823	1,204,158	1,000,892	1,000,892	1,000,892	1,000,892	1,000,892	1,000,892	1,000,892	1,000,892
Total of policy year	1,817,281	2,080,823	1,878,208	1,878,208	1,878,208	1,878,208	1,878,208	1,878,208	1,878,208	1,878,208	1,878,208
Two years total	2,314,819	2,163,814	2,348,603	1,878,208	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Three years total	2,697,128	2,487,888	1,382,827	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Four years total	2,676,709	1,169,833	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Five years total	2,684,639	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6. Total cash received in estimated incurred claims and expense fees and of the policy year	\$ 793,123	\$ 893,211	\$ 78,721	\$ 98,281	\$ 98,281	\$ 119,489	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

**LOUISIANA SHERIFFS' AUTOMOBILE RISK PROGRAM  
COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF CLAIM DEVELOPMENT, EARNED  
MEMBERSHIP FEES, AND UNALLOCATED EXPENSES**

*(Six-Year Claims Development Information)*

The table on page thirteen illustrates how the Program's earned revenues (net of excess insurance premiums) and investment income compare to related costs of loss (net of loss assumed by excess carriers) and other claim expenses assumed by the Program as of the end of each of the six years the Program has been in existence. The rows of the table are defined as follows:

1. This line shows the total of each fiscal year's earned contribution revenues and investment revenues net of excess insurance costs.
2. This line shows each fiscal year's other operating costs of the Program including overhead and claims expense not allocable to individual claims.
3. This line shows the Program's incurred claims and allocated claim adjustment expense (both paid and accrued) as originally reported at the end of the first year in which the event that triggered coverage under the contract occurred (called the policy year).
4. This section of six rows shows the cumulative amounts paid as of the end of successive years for each policy year.
5. This section of six rows shows how each policy year's incurred claims increased or decreased as of the end of successive years. This annual recalculation results from new information received on known claims, recalculation of existing information on known claims, as well as emergence of new claims not previously known.
6. This line compares the latest recalculation's incurred claims amount to the amount originally established (line 3) and shows whether this latest estimate of claims or cost is greater or less than originally thought. As data for individual policy years mature, the correlation between original estimates and recalculated amounts is consistently used to evaluate the accuracy of incurred claims currently recognized in less mature policy years. The columns of the table show data for successive policy years.

## **GREG A. KENNEDY**

*Certified Public Accountant  
of Professional Accounting Corporation*

### **INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL STRUCTURE BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS**

**Date of Managers**  
**Louisiana Sheriff's Automobile Risk Program**  
**Baton Rouge, Louisiana**

I have audited the financial statements of Louisiana Sheriff's Automobile Risk Program, as of June 30, 1997, and for the year then ended, and have issued my report thereon dated December 4, 1997.

I conducted my audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that I plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the general-purpose financial statements are free of material misstatements.

The management of Louisiana Sheriff's Automobile Risk Program, is responsible for establishing and maintaining an internal control structure. In fulfilling this responsibility, estimates and judgments by management are required to assess the expected benefits and related costs of the internal control structure policies and procedures. The objectives of an internal control structure are to provide management with reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that assets are safeguarded against loss from unauthorized use or disposition, and that transactions are executed in accordance with management's authorization and recorded properly to permit the preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Because of inherent limitations in any internal control structure, errors or irregularities may nevertheless occur and not be detected. Also, projection of any evaluation of the structure to future periods is subject to the risk that procedures may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the effectiveness of the design and operation of policies and procedures may deteriorate.

In planning and performing my audit of the financial statements of Louisiana Sheriff's Automobile Risk Program, for the year ended June 30, 1997, I obtained an understanding of the internal control structure. With respect to the internal control structure, I obtained an understanding of the design of relevant policies and procedures and whether they have been placed in operation, and I assessed control risk in order to determine my auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing my opinion on the financial statements and not to provide an opinion on the internal control structure. Accordingly, I do not express such

an opinion.

My consideration of the internal control structure would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control structure that might be material weaknesses under standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the specific internal control structure elements does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that errors or irregularities in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. I noted no matters involving the internal control structure and its operations that I consider to be material weaknesses as defined above.

This report is intended solely for the use of management of the Louisiana Sheriff's Automobile Risk Program, and interested state and federal agencies. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.



Baton Rouge, Louisiana

December 4, 1997

## GREG A. KENNEDY

*Certified Public Accountant*  
*LA Professional Accounting Corporation*

### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Managers  
Louisiana Sheriff's Automobile Risk Program  
Baton Rouge, Louisiana

I have audited the financial statements of Louisiana Sheriff's Automobile Risk Program, as of June 30, 1997, and for the year then ended, and have issued my report thereon dated December 4, 1997.

I conducted my audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Louisiana Governmental Audit Guide, issued by the Society of Louisiana Certified Public Accountants and the Louisiana Legislative Auditors. These standards require that I plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the general-purpose financial statements are free of material misstatement.

Compliance with laws, regulations and contracts applicable to Louisiana Sheriff's Automobile Risk Program, is the responsibility of Louisiana Sheriff's Automobile Risk Program's management. As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, I performed tests of Louisiana Sheriff's Automobile Risk Program's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, and contracts. However, the objective of my tests of the financial statements was not to provide an opinion on overall compliance with such provisions. Accordingly, I do not express such an opinion.

The results of my tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported herein under Government Auditing Standards.

This report is intended solely for the use of management of Louisiana Sheriff's Automobile Risk Program, and interested State and Federal agencies. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.



Baton Rouge, Louisiana  
December 4, 1997