

#### LOUISIANA LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR DARYL G. PURPERA, CPA, CFE

April 4, 2014

#### TO THE USERS OF THIS REPORT:

The Louisiana Legislative Auditor (LLA) is reissuing the audit report of the **Housing** Authority of St. John the Baptist Parish for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. The audit was performed by Yeager & Boyd, LLC.

The original report, which was issued by LLA on July 24, 2013, had a disclaimer of opinion because the housing authority was unable to support opening balances for cash, restricted cash, and capital assets. Due to the materiality of the balances that could not be supported, Yeager & Boyd was unable to render an opinion on the housing authority's financial statements. The housing authority's audit reports for fiscal years ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 also had disclaimers of opinion.

After LLA's issuance of the original report, a second report, with an unqualified opinion, was submitted by Yeager & Boyd for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. According to Yeager & Boyd, the housing authority was able to provide support for the cash, restricted cash, and capital asset balances, and the disclaimer of opinion was changed to an unqualified opinion.

Both reports are available on LLA's website.

LLA feels this matter should be disclosed for the information of the users of the report.

If there are any questions, please call Joy Irwin at (225) 339-3975.

Sincerely,

Daryl G. Purpera, CPA, CFE

Under provisions of state law, this report is a public Legislative Auditor document. A copy of the report has been submitted to the entity and other appropriate public officials. The report is available for public inspection at the Baton Rouge office of the Legislative Auditor and, where appropriate, at the office of the parish clerk of court.

APR 0 9 2014 Release Date

# THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH LAPLACE, LOUISIANA REPORT ON EXAMINATION OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

Under provisions of state law, this report is a public document Acopy of the report has been submitted to the entity and other appropriate public officials. The report is available for public inspection at the Baton Rouge office of the Legislative Auditor and, where appropriate, at the office of the parish clerk of court

Release Date JUL 2 4 2013

#### THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH

#### LAPLACE, LOUISIANA

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Independent Auditors' Report	1-7
Management's Discussion & Analysis	8 - 12
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Statement of Net Assets	13 - 14
Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets	15
Statement of Cash Flows	16
Notes to Financial Statements	17 - 22
SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	
Schedule of Assets, Liabilities and Net Assets by Program	23 - 24
Schedule of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets by Program	25
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	26
Financial Data Schedule	27 - 29
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	30 - 49

YEAGER & BOYD, L.L C. CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS 5501 HIGHWAY 280 BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA 35242 (205) 991-5506 (800) 284-1338 FAX (205) 991-5450

Board of Commissioners The Housing Authority of St. John the Baptist Parish LaPlace, Louisiana

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

We were engaged to audit the accompanying financial statements of the Housing Authority of St. John the Baptist Parish as of and for the year ended September 30, 2012, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Authority's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

The Authority was unable to support opening balances for Cash, Restricted Cash and Capital Assets. Therefore, we were unable to determine the material accuracy of the respective ending balances. Additionally, we were unable to determine the material accuracy of the respective ending balances by other auditing procedures.

Because of the significance of the matters discussed in the preceding paragraph, the scope of our work was not sufficient to enable us to express, and we do not express, an opinion on the financial statements referred to in the first paragraph.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated June 21, 2013 on our consideration of the Authority's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages 8 through 12 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

The accompanying Financial Data Schedule is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Further, other supplementary data as listed in the table of contents is presented for Department of Housing and Urban Development information and is not a required part of the financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, "Audits of States, Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations", and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. The Financial Data Schedule, supplementary data and the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements.

Birmingham, Alabama June 21, 2013 Yeager & Boyd

YEAGER & BOYD, L.L.C. CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS 5501 HIGHWAY 280 BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA 35242 (205) 991-5506 (800) 284-1338 FAX (205) 991-5450

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Commissioners
The Housing Authority of St. John the Baptist Parish
LaPlace, Louisiana

We were engaged to audit the financial statements of the Authority as of and for the year ended September 30, 2012, which collectively comprise the Authority's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated June 21, 2013. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of the Authority is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Authority's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Authority's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis

Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in the internal control that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over financial reporting, described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as Finding 2012-01 that we consider to be a significant deficiency in internal control over financial reporting. A significant deficiency is a deficiency in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

#### Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Authority's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as Findings 2012-01 through 2012-12.

The Authority's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. We did not audit the Authority's responses and, accordingly we express no opinion on them

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Commissioners, management, federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Birmingham, Alabama June 21, 2013 Yeager & Bryd

YEAGER & BOYD, L.L.C.
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
5501 HIGHWAY 280
BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA 35242
(205) 991-5506
(800) 284-1338
FAX (205) 991-5450

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS THAT COULD HAVE A DIRECT AND MATERIAL EFFECT ON EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

Board of Commissioners
The Housing Authority of St. John the Baptist Parish
LaPlace, Louisiana

#### Compliance

We have audited the Authority's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on the Authority's major federal program for the year ended September 30, 2012. The Authority's major programs are identified in the Summary of Auditors' Results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to its major federal programs are the responsibility of the Authority's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Authority's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Authority's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the Authority's compliance with those requirements.

As described in Findings 2012-04, 2012-07 and 2012-11 in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, the Authority did not comply with the Eligibility and Special Test and Provisions (Environmental Review) compliance requirements that are applicable to its Public Housing, Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher, Capital Fund and Capital Fund Recovery Act Funded programs. Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, for the Authority to comply with the requirements applicable to those programs.

In our opinion, except for the noncompliance described in the preceding paragraph, the Authority complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended September 30, 2012. The results of our auditing procedures also disclosed other instances of noncompliance with those requirements, which are required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Quested Costs as Findings 2012-02, 2012-03, 2012-05, 2012-06, 2012-08 through 2012-10 and 2012-12.

#### Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the Authority is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Authority's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses and therefore, there can be no assurance that all deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses have been identified. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses and other deficiencies that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as Findings 2012-02 through 2012-07 and 2012-09 through 2012-12 to be material weaknesses.

A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiency in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as Finding 2012-08 to be a significant deficiency.

The Authority's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs We did not audit the Authority's responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Board of Commissioners, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Birmingham, Alabama June 21, 2013 Yenger & Band

# THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

#### FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

This section of the Authority's annual financial report presents Management's analysis of the Authority's financial performance during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. The operation of The Housing Authority of St. John the Baptist Pansh is comprised of a Low Income Public Housing Program, a Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers Program, a Disaster Housing Assistance Program, a Capital Fund Program and a Capital Fund Recovery Act Funded Program. The Public Housing Program is funded with rental revenue, miscellaneous tenant charges and Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) grants. The Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher, Disaster Housing Assistance and Capital Fund Programs are funded entirely by grants from HUD.

#### FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

1.	Total assets exceed total liabilities by	\$5,538,150
2	Unrestricted net assets equal	630,916
3	Total revenues increased by	1,199,457

#### **REQUIRED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The Financial Statements of the Authority report information using the Enterprise Fund accounting methods.

- . Statement of Net Assets includes all of the Authority's assets and liabilities and provides information about the amounts and investments in assets and the obligations to Authority creditors. It also provides a basis of assessing the liquidity and financial flexibility of the Authority. Over time, increases or decreases in net assets may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial health of the Authority is improving or deteriorating. This statement was formerly known as a Balance Sheet.
- . Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets provides information as to the increase or decrease of current year revenues over expenses. This statement was formerly known as an income Statement.
- . Statement of Cash Flows provides information about net cash provided by, or used for operating activities, non-capital financing activities, capital and related financing activities and from investing activities.

#### FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

#### STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS

	2	012		2011	\	/ariance
Assets.						
Current Assets	\$	824,969	\$	708,434	\$	116,535
Restricted Assets	;	353,626		-	\$	353,626
Capital Assets	4,	902,816		4,810,224		92,592
Total Assets	\$ 6,	081,411	\$	5,518,658	\$	562,753
Liabilities.						
Current Liabilities	\$	528,822	\$	572,720	\$	(43,898)
Non-current Liabilities		14,439		58,047		(43,608)
Total Liabilities	\$	543,261	\$	630,767	\$	(87,506)
Net Assets						
Invested in Capital Assets	\$ 4.	553,608	\$	4,461,016	\$	92,592
Restricted Net Assets	•	353,626	•	•	·	353,626
Unrestricted Net Assets		630,916		426,875		204,041
Total Net Assets	\$ 5,	538,150	8	4,887,891	\$	650,259

As illustrated, in the Statement of Net Assets, the overall net assets of the Authority increased by \$650,259. Current assets increased due to an increase in accounts receivable due from HUD for operating grants. Restricted assets and net assets increased due to an increase in cash restricted for housing assistance payments. Capital additions exceeding depreciation expense accounted for the increase in capital assets.

#### **CHANGE IN UNRESTRICTED NET ASSETS**

Unrestricted Net Assets, October 1, 2011	\$	426,875
Results of Operations		153,378
Transfer to Restricted Net Assets		(353,626)
Investment Income		1,047
Depreciation Expense	<del>-</del> .	403,242
Unrestricted Net Assets, September 30, 2012	<u>\$</u>	630,916

While the results of operations are a significant measure of the Authority's activities, the analysis of the changes in Unrestricted Net Assets provides a clearer change in financial well-being.

#### FINANCIAL ANALYSIS (continued)

#### STATEMENT OF REVENUES AND EXPENSES

2012	2011	Variance
\$ 411,572	\$ 424,597	\$ (13,025)
4,040,442	3,222,619	617,823
495,834	216,955	278,879
1,047	1,947	(900)
1,464,958	1,348,276	116,680
\$ 6,413,851	\$ 5,214,394	\$ 1,199,457
\$ 593,944	\$ 424,612	\$ 169,332
529,370	505,692	23,678
352,768	675,471	(322,703)
469,690	587,643	(97,953)
3,414,578	3,623,241	(208,663)
403,242	374,574	28,668
\$ 5,763,592	\$ 6,171,233	\$ (407,641)
\$ 650,259	\$ (956,839)	\$ 1,607,098
	\$ 411,572 4,040,442 495,834 1,047 1,464,958 \$ 6,413,851 \$ 593,944 529,370 352,768 469,690 3,414,578 403,242 \$ 5,763,592	\$ 411,572 \$ 424,597 4,040,442 3,222,619 495,834 216,955 1,047 1,947 1,464,958 1,348,276 \$ 6,413,851 \$ 5,214,394 \$ 593,944 \$ 424,612 529,370 505,692 352,768 675,471 469,690 567,643 3,414,578 3,623,241 403,242 374,574 \$ 5,763,592 \$ 6,171,233

#### REVENUES

In reviewing the Statement of Revenues and Expenses, you will find that 71% of the Authority's revenue is derived from grants from the Department of Housing and Urban Development, 6% of the Authority's revenue is from dwelling rent, and 23% from investment income and other income.

#### **EXPENSES**

In reviewing the Statement of Revenues and Expenses, you will find that 19% of the Authority's expenses are for general and administrative costs, 15% for maintenance and utilities, 7% for depreciation, and 59% for HAP payments.

#### Excess (Deficiency) Revenues Over Expenses

There was an increase in excess revenues over expenses. The increase was due to an increase in revenues and a decrease in expenses. Operating grants increased due to an increase in Public Housing's operating subsidy. Capital grant revenue increased due to an increase in modernization and development activity. Other income primarity increased due to an increase in income received from other housing authorities for port-ins. Administrative expenses increased due mainly to increased consulting and legal fees incurred. Maintenance costs decreased due to rehabilitation projects being completed in the prior year as well as cost saving efforts during the fiscal year. General expenses decreased due to a reduction of bad debt write-offs. Housing Assistance Payments decreased due to decreased leasing

#### **FINANCIAL ANALYSIS** (continued)

#### **CAPITAL ASSETS**

As of year end, the Authority had \$4,902,816 invested in a variety of capital assets as reflected in the following schedule, which represents a 2% increase (additions, deductions and depreciation) from the end of last year.

•	2012	2011	Variance	% Change
Land	<b>\$</b> 171,875	\$ 171,875	\$ ~	0%
Buildings & Improvements	14,391,185	13,976,704	414,481	3%
Furniture & Equipment	173,484	168,289	5,195	3%
Construction in Process	1,939,293	1,863,135	76,158	4%
Accumulated Depreciation	(11,773,021)	(11,369,779)	(403,242)	4%
Net Capital Assets	<b>\$ 4,902,816</b>	\$ 4,810,224	\$ 92,592	2%

#### The following reconciliation summanzes the change in Capital Assets:

Beginning Balance, October 1, 2011	\$ 4,810,224
Additions.  Capital Fund Program - Improvements	495,834
Depreciation Expense	(403,242)
Ending Balance, September 30, 2012	<b>\$ 4,902,816</b>

#### **ECONOMIC FACTORS AND EVENTS AFFECTING OPERATIONS**

Several factors that may affect the financial position of the Authority in the subsequent fiscal year are as follows:

- Federal funding provided by Congress to the Department of Housing and Urban Development
- Local labor supply and demand, which can affect salary and wage rates
- Local inflationary, recessionary and employment trends, which can affect resident incomes and therefore the amount of rental income
- Inflationary pressure on utility rates, supplies and other costs

#### CONTACT

This financial report is designed to provide our residents, the citizens of LaPlace, Louislana, federal and state regulatory bodies, and any creditors with a general overview of the Authority's finances. If you have any questions regarding these financial statements or supplemental information, you may contact Trina Henderson, Executive Director, at 152 Joe Parquet Circle, LaPlace, Louislana 70068.

# THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

#### <u>ASSETS</u>

	Enterprise <u>Fund</u>
Current Assets	
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 312,585
Accounts Receivable - HUD	501,095
Tenants Accounts Receivable	6,995
(Allowance for Doubtful Accounts)	(1,539)
Inventories	5,833
Total Current Assets	824,969
Restricted Assets	
Cash and Cash Equivalents	<u>353,626</u>
Total Restricted Assets	353,626
Capital Assets	
Land	171,875
Buildings	14,391,185
Furniture & Equipment	173,484
Construction in Process	1,939,293
•	16,675,837
(Less): Accumulated Depreciation	(11,773,021)
Net Capital Assets	4,902,816
Total Assets	\$ 6,081,411

# THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

#### <u>LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS</u>

	Enterprise <u>Fund</u>		
Current Liabilities			
Accounts Payable	\$ 85,131		
Accounts Payable - Capital	349,208		
Accrued Wages and Payroll Taxes	28,408		
Accrued Compensated Absences	14,438		
Resident Security Deposits	17,371		
Accrued Current Liabilitites - Other	34,266		
Total Current Liabilities	528,822		
Long-Term Liabilities			
Accrued Compensated Absences	14,439		
Total Long-Term Liabilities	14,439		
Total Liabilities	543,261		
Net Assets			
Investment in Capital Assets	4,553,608		
Restricted Net Assets	353,626		
Unrestricted Net Assets	630,916		
Total Net Assets	5,538,150		
Total Liabilities and Net Assets	\$ 6,081,411		

#### THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

	Enterprise <u>Fund</u>		
Operating Revenues			
Dwelling Rent	\$	411,572	
Operating Grants		4,040,442	
Other Income		1,464,956	
Total Operating Revenues		5,916,970	
Operating Expenses			
Administrative		593,944	
<b>Utilities</b>		529,370	
Maintenance and Operations		352,768	
General Expense		469,690	
Housing Assistance Payments		3,414,578	
Depreciation		403,242	
Total Operating Expenses		5,763,592	
Operating Income (Loss)		153,378	
Non-Operating Revenues (Expenses)			
Investment Income		1,047	
Total Non-Operating Rev/(Exp)		1,047	
Increase (Decrease) before Capital Contributions		154,425	
Capital Contributions		495,834	
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets		650,259	
Net Assets, Beginning		4,887,891	
Net Assets, Ending	\$	<u>5,538,150</u>	

### THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

	Enterprise
	<u>Fund</u>
Cash flows from operating activities:	
Cash Received from Dwelling Rent	\$ 395,888
Cash Received from Operating Grants	3,612,453
Cash Received from Other Sources	1,463,959
Cash Payments for Salaries and Benefits	(563,964)
Cash Payments to Vendors and Landlords	(4,774,753)
Net Cash flows provided (used) by operating activities	133,583
, , , , , ,	
Cash flows from capital and related financing activities	
Capital Outlay	(495,834)
Capital Grants Received	440,264
Net cash flows provided (used) by capital and related financing activities	(55,570)
Cash flows from investing activities.	
Interest received from cash and cash equivalents	1,047
Net cash flows provided (used) by investing activities	1,047
Net Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	79,060
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year.	<u>587,151</u>
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	<u>\$ 666,211</u>
<b>6</b>	
Reconciliation of operating income (loss) to net cash	
provided (used) operating activities:	
Operating Income (Loss)	<b>\$</b> 153,378
Adjustment to reconcile operating income (loss) to net cash	
provided (used) by operating activities:	
Depreciation	403,242
Bad Debts	27,073
Change in Tenants Accounts Receivable	(15,684)
Change in Accounts Receivable - HUD	(427,989)
Change in Inventories	(5,833)
Change in Prepaid Insurance	86,902
Change in Accounts Payable - Operating	24,116
Change in Accrued Expenses	(26,495)
Change in Other Current Liabilities	(84,130)
Change in Tenant Security Deposits	(997)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 133,583

#### THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH

#### LAPLACE, LOUISIANA

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

**SEPTEMBER 30, 2012** 

#### NOTE A - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The financial statements of the Housing Authority have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) as applied to government units. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard-setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The Authority is a Special Purpose Government engaged only in business-type activities and therefore, presents only the financial statements required for the enterprise fund, in accordance with GASB Statement 34 paragraph 138.

The Authority has multiple programs which are accounted for in one enterprise fund, which is presented as the "enterprise fund" in the basic financial statements as follows.

Enterprise Fund – In accordance with the Enterprise Fund Method, activity is recorded using the accrual basis of accounting and the measurement of focus is on the flow of economic resources. Under the accrual basis of accounting revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded at the time liabilities are incurred. This required the Housing Authority to account for operations in a manner similar to private business or where the Board has decided that the determination of revenues earned, costs incurred and/or net income is necessary for management accountability.

Governmental Accounting Standards — The Housing Authority has applied all applicable Governmental Accounting Standards Board pronouncements.

#### FURTHER SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES ARE:

#### Cash

The Housing Authority considers cash on hand and cash in checking to be cash equivalents. Cash on hand is not included in calculation of collateral required.

#### Accounts Receivable

Tenant accounts receivables are carried at the amount considered by management to be collectible. Other Accounts Receivable consists of amounts due from HUD for operating grants

#### NOTE A - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - CONTINUED

#### Revenue Accounting Policies

Dwelling rent income, HUD Grants received for operations, other operating fund grants and operating miscellaneous income are shown as operating income.

These financial statements do not contain material inter-fund revenues and expenses for internal activity. The policy is to eliminate any material inter-fund revenues and expenses for these financial statements.

#### **Property and Equipment**

Property and equipment are recorded at cost. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. The costs of maintenance and repairs are expensed while significant renewals and betterments are capitalized. Small dollar value minor equipment items are expensed. Depreciation has been expensed in the statement of income and expenses. Estimated useful lives are as follows.

Buildings and Improvements
Furniture and Equipment

40 years 3 - 7 years

#### **Cost Allocation**

The Authority allocates indirect costs to programs on the basis of one of the following methods: direct salaries and wages, percentage of office square footage, number of vouchers and/or units, estimated/actual time spent, number of checks processed or the allotment stipulated in contractual agreements.

#### NOTE B - REPORTING ENTITY DEFINITION

The Housing Authority is a separate non-profit corporation with a Board of Commissioners. The Mayor appoints the Board of Commissioners. However, the Housing Authority has complete legislative and administrative authority and it recruits and employs personnel. The Authority adopts a budget that is approved by the Board of Commissioners. Subsidies for operations are received primarily from HUD. The Authority has substantial legal authority to control its affairs without local government approval; therefore, all operations of the Authority are a separate reporting entity as reflected in this report. The Authority has no component units. The Authority is responsible for its debts and is entitled to surpluses. No separate agency receives a financial benefit nor imposes a financial burden on the Authority.

#### NOTE C - <u>CASH AND INVESTMENT DEPOSITS</u>

Custodial Credit Risk - The Housing Authority policy is to limit credit risk by adherence to the list of HUD permitted investments, which are backed by the full faith and credit of or a guarantee of principal and interest by the U.S. Government

Interest Rate Risk - The Housing Authority's formal investment policy does not limit investment maturities as a means of managing its exposure to fair value losses arising from interest rate volatility.

The U.S. Department of HUD requires housing authorities to invest excess funds in obligations of the U.S., certificates of deposit or any other federally-insured investments.

#### NOTE C - CASH AND INVESTMENT DEPOSITS - CONTINUED

The Housing Authority's cash and cash equivalents consist of cash held in three interest bearing checking accounts and one non-interest bearing checking account totaling \$666,011. The remaining \$200 is held in the form of petty cash or change fund. Deposits with financial institutions are secured as follows:

•	Book Balance		Bank Balance	
Insured by FDIC	\$	250,000	\$	250,000
Collateralized with specific securities in the Authority name which are held				
by the financial institution		416,011		482,281
•	\$	666,011	\$	732,281

#### **NOTE D - CONTRACTUAL COMMITMENTS**

The Authority had Outstanding Contractual Commitments as of the September 30, 2012 as follows:

Type Commitment
None of any significance

**Amount** 

#### NOTE E - RISK MANAGEMENT

The Housing Authority is exposed to various risks of losses related to torts; thefts of, damage to, and destruction of assets; error and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. The Housing Authority carries commercial insurance for all risks of loss, including workman's compensation and employee health and accident insurance. The Housing Authority has not had any significant reductions in insurance coverage or any claims not reimbursed.

#### NOTE F - CONCENTRATION OF RISK

The Housing Authority receives most of its funding from HUD. These funds and grants are subject to modification by HUD depending on the availability of funding.

The President's 2013 Budget Includes funding reductions to HUD as a result of Sequestration. Therefore, the Authority anticipates a decrease of approximately 22% in funding for the Public Housing program, and 19% for the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program.

#### NOTE G - SIGNIFICANT ESTIMATES

The financial statements include some amounts that are based on management's best estimates and judgments. The most significant estimates relate to depreciation and useful lives. These estimates may be adjusted as more current information becomes available, and any adjustment could be significant.

#### NOTE H - COMPENSATED ABSENCES

It is the Housing Authority's policy to permit employees, depending on tenure, to accumulate earned but unused vacation and sick leave. Upon separation from employment after one year of service, an employee is entitled to receive pay for accrued vacation up to a specified maximum. Leave accrued but not yet paid as of September 30, 2012 is shown as a liability allocated between current and noncurrent.

Accrued Compensated Absences Less: Current portion	\$ Balance 10/1/2011 68,872 (10,825)	Increases 19,355	Decreases 59,351	 alance 30/2012 28,876 (14,438)	Due Within One Year 14,438
Total Long Term Liabilities	\$ 58,047			\$ 14,438	\$ -

#### NOTE I - PENSION PLAN

The Housing Authority contributes to a defined contribution pension plan. All full time employees are eligible for the plan after completing 6 months employment with the Authority. The Authority contributes 7,5% of each employee's salary to the plan. Employer contributions to the plan for the year ended September 30, 2012 were \$10,336 Employee contributions are 5% of each employee's salary. Employees contributions to the plan for the year ended September 30, 2012 were \$6,890.

Assets in the plan are recorded at market value and are administered by a private corporation under contract with the Housing Authority. It is the opinion of the Authority's legal counsel that the Housing Authority has no liability for losses under the plans but does have the responsibility of due care that would be required of an ordinary prudent investor.

#### NOTE J-INTERPROGRAM ACTIVITY

The Housing Authority manages several programs. Many charges, i.e., payroli, benefits, insurance, etc. are paid by the Housing Authority's various funds and subsequently reimbursed. Balances due for such charges are reflected in the Inter-program Due to/Due from account balances. Inter-program balances at September 30, 2012 consisted of the following:

Housing Chaice Vouchers	\$	64,158
Disaster Housing Assistance Payments	_	(64,156)
Total	\$	-

#### NOTE K-PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

A summary of property and equipment is as follows at fiscal year-end:

	PUBLIC HOUSING	CAPITAL FUND	TOTAL
Land	\$ 171,875	\$ ~	\$ 171,875
Building and Improvements	14,391,185	•	\$ 14,391,185
Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment	173,484	-	\$ 173,484
Construction in Process	-	1,939,293	\$ 1,939,293
Less Accumulated Depreciation	(11,773,021)	 <del></del>	\$ (11,773,021)
Total Property and Equipment	\$ 2,963,523	\$ 1,939,293	\$ 4,902,816

		ober 1, 2011 <u>Balance</u>	£	Additions	ransfers & Deletions	Septe	ember 30, 2012 <u>Balance</u>
Land Construction in	\$	171,875	\$	-	\$ -	\$	171,875
Construction in Process		1,863,135		495,834	 (419,676)		1,939,293
Total Assets not							
being depreciated		2,035,010		495,834	(419,676)		2,111,168
Buildings and Improvements		13,976,704			414,481		14,391,185
111111111111111111111111111111111111111		10,010,104			400,000		14,001,100
Furniture and							
Equipment		168,289			 5,195		173,484
Total Property							
and Equipment		16,180,003		495,834	•		16,675,837
Less Accumulated Depreciation	חמ						
<b>Building and Improvements</b>		11,241,426)		(380,865)	-		(11,622,291)
Furniture and Equipment		(128,353)		(22,377)	 -		(150,730)
Net Book Value	\$	4,810,224	\$	92,592	\$ _	\$	4,902,816

#### NOTE L - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Amounts received or receivable from HUD are subject to audit and adjustment by grantor agencies. If expenses are disallowed as a result of these audits, the claims for reimbursement to the grantor agency would become a liability of the Authority. In the opinion of management, any such adjustments would not be significant. Based on a consolidated review performed by HUD, the Housing Authority may be required to refund approximately \$1 million received through grants if they are unable to provide supporting documentation.

#### NOTE M - RESTRICTED CASH, INVESTMENTS AND RESTRICTED NET ASSETS

Excess Housing Assistance Payment (HAP) funds available to the Authority under the Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers program are required by HUD to be restricted. These funds are to be used only for HAP expenditures for the program. These funds are also shown as Restricted Net Assets since there is no offsetting liability. Total restricted cash, investments and net assets were \$353,626 as of the end of the fiscal year.

#### NOTE N - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

In preparing financial statements, management evaluated subsequent events through June 21, 2013, the date the financial statements were issued.

#### THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH SCHEDULE OF ASSETS, LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS BY PROGRAM SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

- 1 %
_,,

											•		
Н	lousing		Fund	Sti	mulus	(	Choice	H	ousing	E	mination		<u>Totai</u>
-	TOMIANI	E	<u> </u>	2	I BIII	<u> </u>	Jucijais	70	SISIALICE		muauvii		IUM
\$	233,236	\$	-	\$	-	\$	79,349	\$	•	\$	-	\$	312,585
	436,939		-		-		•		64,156		-		501,095
	6,995		-		-		-		•		-		6,995
	•		-		•		•		_		•		(1,539)
					-		-		-		-		5,833
	•		-		•		64,156		_		(64.156)		-
	681,464		-		-				64,156				824,969
												-	
	-		-		-		353,626		_		•		353,626
	-		-		-		353,626		-		-		353,626
	171,875		-		-		-		-		•		171,875
1	4,391,185		-		-		-		_		•	1	4,391,185
	173,484		-		-		•		-		-		173,484
	•		1,939,293		-		-		-		-		1,939,293
1	4,738,544								-				6,675,837
	•		•		-		-		-		•		1,773,021)
_			1,939,293		-				-		•		4,902,816
\$	3,644,987	<u>\$</u>	1,939,293	\$	•	\$	497,131	\$	64,156	\$_	(64,156)	\$	6,081,411
	1- 	438,939 6,995 (1,539) 5,833 	## Housing   Program   P   \$ 233,236	Housing Program  \$ 233,236 \$ - 436,939 - 6,995 - (1,539) - 5,833	Housing Fund Stipprogram G  \$ 233,236 \$ - \$ 436,939 - 6,995 - (1,539) - 5,833	Housing Program         Fund Grant           \$ 233,236         \$ -           436,939         -           6,995         -           (1,539)         -           5,833         -           -         -           681,464         -           173,484         -           -         1,939,293           14,736,544         1,939,293           11,773,021)         -           2,963,523         1,939,293	Housing   Fund   Stimulus   Orant   Program   Program   Grant   Vertical   Vertical   Stimulus   Orant   Vertical   Orant   Orant	Housing Program         Fund Grant         Stimulus Vouchers           \$ 233,236         \$ - \$ 79,349           438,939	Housing   Fund   Stimulus   Choice   Housing   Program   Grant   Vouchers   Assembly   Assembly	Housing   Program   Program   Stimulus   Choice   Housing   Assistance	Housing Program         Fund Grant         Stimulus Grant         Choice Housing Assistance         Eil           \$ 233,236         \$ - \$ - \$ 79,349         \$ - \$ 436,939         64,156         6,995         64,156         64,156	Housing Program         Fund Program         Stimulus Grant         Choice Vouchers         Housing Assistance         Elimination           \$ 233,236         -         -         -         64,156         -           438,939         -         -         -         64,156         -           6,995         -         -         -         -         -           (1,539)         -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -         -           5,833         -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         84,156         -         (64,156)           681,464         -         -         143,505         64,158         (64,156)           -         -         -         353,626         -         -           -         -         -         353,626         -         -           -         -         -         -         -         -           171,875         -         -         -         -         -           14,391,185         -         -         -         -         -	Housing   Fund   Stimulus   Choice   Housing   Program   Program   Grant   Vouchers   Assistance   Elimination

22

#### THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH SCHEDULE OF ASSETS, LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS BY PROGRAM SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

#### LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS

	Public Housing <u>Program</u>	Capital Fund <u>Program</u>	CFP Stimulus <u>Program</u>	Housing Choice <u>Vouchers</u>	Disaster Housing <u>Assistance</u>	Elimination	Total
Current Liabilities			-				
Accounts Payable	\$ 85,131	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	<b>\$</b> -	\$ -	\$ 85,131
Accounts Payable - Capital	349,208	-	-	-		•	349,208
Accrued Wages and Payroll Taxes	25,621	•	•	2,787	-	-	28,408
Accrued Compensated Absences	13,489	•	-	949	-	-	14,438
Resident Security Deposits	17,371	-	-	-	-	•	17,371
Accrued Current Liabilities - Other	34,266	•	-	-	_	•	34,266
Interprogram Payable	•	<b>-</b> .	•	-	64,156	(64,156)	
Total Current Liabilities	525,088			3,736	64,156	(64,156)	528,822
Long-Term Liabilities							
Accrued Compensated Absences	13,489	-	_	950	•	_	14,439
Total Long-Term Liabilities	13,489	•		950			14,439
Total Liabilities	538,575			4,686	64,156	(64,156)	543,261
Net Assets	•	•					
Investment in Capital Assets	2,614,315	1,939,293	_	•	_	_	4,553,608
Restricted Net Assets	_,0.1.,0.10	.,555,555	_	353,626	_	_	353,626
Unrestricted Net Assets	492,097	•	_	138,819	_	_	630,916
Total Net Assets	3,106,412	1,939,293	-	492,445			5,538,150
Total Liabilities and Net Assets	\$ 3,644,987	\$ 1,939,293	\$ -	\$ 497,131	\$ 64,156	\$ (64,156)	\$ 6,081,411

- 24

### THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS BY PROGRAM FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

	Public Housing <u>Program</u>	Capital Fund <u>Program</u>	CFP Stimulus <u>Program</u>	Housing Choice <u>Vouchers</u>	Disaster Housing Assistance	Total
Operating Revenues						
Dwelling Rent	\$ 411,572	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 411,572
Operating Grants	1,480,491	124,238	95,797	2,275,760	64,156	4,040,442
Other Income	71,093	-		1,393,863		1,464,956
Total Operating Revenues	1,963,156	124,238	95,797	3,669,623	64,156	5,916,970
Operating Expenses						
Administrative	481,896	•	18,198	93,850	-	593,944
Utilities	529,370		· <b>-</b>	· -	•	529,370
Maintenance and Operations	275,169	•	77,599	-	-	352,768
General Expense	462,026	•	-	7,664	-	469,690
Housing Assistance Payments	•	•	•	3,414,578	-	3,414,578
Depreciation	403,242	•		-		403,242
Total Operating Expenses	2,151,703	•	95,797	3,516,092	•	5,763,592
Operating Income (Loss)	(188,547)	124,238		153,531	64,156	153,378
Non-Operating Revenues (Expenses	)					
Investment Income	221	-	-	826	-	1,047
Total Non-Operating Rev/(Exp)	221			826	-	1,047
Increase (Decrease) before Capital						
Contributions and Transfers	(188,326)	124,238	<u> </u>	154,357	64,156	154,425
Operating Transfers In (Out)	124,238	(124,238)	•	•	_	_
Capital Contributions		495,834	-		····	495,834
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	(64,088)	495,834	-	154,357	64,156	650,259
Net Assets, Beginning	2,750,824	1,863,135	-	273,932	•	4,887,891
Equity Transfer	419,676	(419,676)		64,156	(64,156)	-
Net Assets, Ending	\$ 3,106,412	\$ 1,939,293	\$ -	\$ 492,445	\$ -	\$ 5,538,150

### THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH LAPLACE, LOUISIANA

#### SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

#### YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

#### **EXPENDITURES**

Low Rent Public Housing Expenditures Total CFDA Number 14.850a	\$ 1,480,491
Housing Choice Vouchers	
Total CFDA Number 14.871	2,275,760
Public Housing Capital Fund Program	
Total CFDA Number 14.872	620,072
Public Housing Capital Fund - Recovery Act Funded	
Total CFDA Number 14.885	95,797
Disaster Housing Assistance Payments Program	
Total CFDA Number 97.109	64,156
TOTAL HUD EXPENDITURES	4,536,276
TOTAL FEDERAL EXPENDITURES	<b>\$ 4,536,276</b>

#### Basis of Presentation:

The above schedule of expenditures of federal awards includes the federal grant activity of the Authority and is presented on the accrual basis of accounting. The information on this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations.

#### HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH LAPLACE, LOUISIANA FINANCIAL DATA SCHEDULE SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

				an		_		
		100 Milh	CT9		#EC#20# # 34 872	2634		TOTAL
	Lints	14 4504	14 672	14 495	1	97 109	ST.DEPOS.STORS	
-	CONSULT ARKETS			<del> </del>	<del></del>			<del> </del>
<del></del>	CAER				1			
111	CAME CHOCATRICTED	215,045		•	79,349	-	-	295,214
112	CARS SESTATORED MODESUTANCEON AND DEV		•				•	
117	CASE OTHER RESTRICTED				193,626	-		353,626
114	CASE TROUT SECURITY DEPOSIT	17,371			<del></del>	<u> </u>		17,871
115	CASE RESTRICTED FOR PINT CHRESTS DIDT			-			<u> </u>	
100	TOTAL CARE	233,236			492,978			866,211
_	ACCOUNTS AND POWER PROPERTY.						<del></del>	
193	ACCOUNTS AND MORES RECEIVABLE  A/A - PEA SECURITS	<del></del>		<del></del>	<del></del>		<del></del>	
122	A/2 - EED PROJECTS	436,939				64,154		501,698
324	A/R - OTHER GOVE	*	-	-			-	-
125	A/R - MISC		-		-		-	
124	A/A - TOOMTS DIRLLING KINT	4,995		-		•		4,945
126 1	ALCORNER FOR D A - TERRITA	(1,539)	-	-			-	(1,539)
136 3	ALLOHOUGE FOR D A - OFFER							
327	WORLD AND MOTTOAGES RECEIVABLE				<del>                                     </del>			
126 128 1	FIGURE RECOVERY				<del></del>		<del></del>	-
122	ACCRUMO FIVENDESS SECRETARIES  ACCRUMO FIVENDESS SECRETARIES		-			<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>	<del></del>
120		442,395			<del> </del> -	64,196		506,551
	CHORDS UNDSTREETS							
131	DEVERTIGERS - GREENINGERD			₹	-		-	
132	percentate - Restalcted	-	-		-			-
142	PRIDATO DEGRACE		-	•	-			
143	110020700224 - 10.55372AZS	7,777			<del></del>		<u> </u>	7,777
163.1	ALLONACE FOR GREATERS DIV	(1,944)			44 155		<del></del>	(1,946)
166	INTERPROGRAM SON PROVIDED	<u> </u>			64,186		(64, 154)	<u> </u>
150	TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	693,464	-		497,131	\$4,154	(64,154)	1,170,505
	1000	407,466			457,100		(45/207/	.,1,0,000
-	HOREGODER LABORS							
	FIND ACCEPS							
161	\$200	172,878	-		-		-	171,678
162	2011ATRON	13,040,064	•		•	-		13,040,064
163	LOGITACIO 9 DOLLINELL - DESTITUDO	10,000		•	-		-	10,000
164	LONDITONE & BEGINNING - YOUTHEREN	169,484	-			<u> </u>		145,494
1.65	ZELESCEO INTROVERSITE	1,951,121						1,351,123
107	COMPANICATION IN MOGRAFIE		1,939,893				<del></del>	1,039,293
154	ACCUMULATED DEPROCEATION	(31,773,023)						(11,779,021)
160	TOTAL FIRED ASSETS, NET OF DAPA	2,963,523	1,020,252					4,963,816
171	MOTER & MUNICIPALES RECEIVABLE - M/C	-			<del></del>			
172	HOTEL & HORTONOES RECEIVABLE - 10		-	_	-			
374	OFFICE ASSETS	-	-	-	-			-
176	MANAGEMENT IN SOLICE ARRESTORS	-	-		•	•	-	•
180	SOLVE MONOGROUP, WRIEGS	2,963,523	1,039,293	•		•		6,902,416
					255.554			2 222 212
190	SOUNT WESELS	3,684,987	1,039,293		497,531	64,156	(66,254)	6,081,411
⊢	EXAMINATIVE AND EQUITY						<del></del>	
211	CORRECT LIANILITIES  DACK CARDENT						<del> </del>	
311	A/P < 90 DAYS	25,131				<del></del>		85,131
313	3/9 > 90 Blas	349,208			-		<del></del>	349,200
221	ACCRETO TROS/BAYROLL TAXES PATAME	25,421	-		2,707		-	28,400
222	ACCIPIED CONSTRUCTION ASSESSES	13,499			P43	-		14,438
324	MODERN CONTINUENCY LEABELITY	-						
	ACCRETED THEREOF PARRIES		-		-			-
331	ACCOUNTS PAYABLE - JUIN PILA PROGRAMS							
333	ACCOUNTS PAYABLE - PER PROJECTS ACCOUNTS PAYABLE OTHER COVT			<del></del>	<del></del>			
34	FEMORE SECURISE DESCRIP	17,371	<del>-</del>	<del></del>			- :	17,371
74	DEFERED SIVEROES	469		<del></del>				469
243	CONSIST POSTERN OF LA CENT CAPITAL		-		_		-	-
244	COMMENT PORTION OF LT CAST OFFICATION	-		-				-
348	OTHER CHARGE LUBILITYING	-			-			-
346	ACCRUED LIABILITIES - OTHER	33,79?			-		-	23,797
367	DATE OF SUIT DATE					64,156	(64,156)	
310	TOTAL CURCUST LIABILITIES	525,086	•	-	3,736	€4,196	(64,156)	B20,822
<b>├</b>	MATERIAL - 12201 - 5720						<del> </del>	
381	NONCOMMENT LIABILITIES  LONG THEM CHEST OF COUNTRY CAPITAL	<del></del>					<del>├──</del>	
303	LONG TERM DEST SET OF CONCERT CAPITAL		<del></del>		<del></del>	<u>-</u>	<del></del>	
384	LONG TERM DORFLON OF COMPENSATED ASSESS:	13,449			#50		<del></del>	24,439
353	ROPCHRONT LEADILITIES CIRCU		-	-		-	<del></del>	
	TOTAL SUSCESSION LIABILITIES	13,469	-		950	-		14,439
300	TOTAL LIABILITIES	634,578			4,494	64,194	(\$4,156)	863,261
$ldsymbol{oxed}$	EQUITE							
501	DESCRIPTION OF COMMAND PRINTS ASSESSED	•			L			

#### HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH LAPLACE, LOUISIANA FINANCIAL DATA SCHEDULE SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

Model					ar a				
Company Cartholic		· ·							TOTAL
BOOK   PRICE   CREAT PRICE   CREAT			14 850a	24 872	14 805	14 871	97 109	22.00002200	
BOOK   PRICE   CREAT PRICE   CREAT							-		
192   STATE CONTINUED ON CONT		CONTRIBUTED CAPTEAL	-	•	•	-	-	•	-
20   100	902	PRACTICE FORES (SIND)	-	-		-			-
18	803			-	-	-			-
STATE   Committee   Committe				-	-			-	
Section   Sect						-			
Test   Communication Control							-	-	
10.5   Temperature of Chiptics, Appears   \$1,04,125   \$1,050,750   \$							-	_	
Big   STREET   STRE			0.004.315	1 620 903					A 1933 COA
STATE   STAT	100 1								
BASES   SERVICE PARK ASSESSMENT					_	<del></del>		-	
131   POPING MARCHEST PLANES									
SET   SETEMBRICAN STREET   S									
STATE   COMMANDATION NOT ANALYSIA/FA									
10.1   COMMANDATION BY LAWRENCE   16.9.17						183.621			253,626
13.1									
Column					•		•		
Notices	513	TOTAL 2001FF	3,104,412	1,939,293		492,445	•		5,538,150
Notices									
1907   STATE STREETS AND STATE AND STATE	600	BOENT PTWHITTAINS NOW PROLES.	3,644,807	1,939,293	-	497,131	64,156	(64,184)	6,001,411
1907   STATE STREETS AND STATE AND STATE		MIVIEW.	-				•	-	-
THE PRINTED STATEMENT   1,664     1,164   -   -   1,165   1,16	703		289,728		-				245,720
1981   SIGNAL CORRECT STATEMENT   1,100,411   150,129   91,777   2,2775,760   64,164   4,064,454   150,129   91,777   2,2775,760   64,164   4,064,454   1,000,45									
Test   Control						<del></del>			
CAMPANA CAMPATI MICHAELED				194 094		2,998,764			
TOO DOUGH CONTROL TRACES								lacksquare	
To   Process   To   To   To   To   To   To   To				470,424	<del></del>				
Till				<del></del>	<u> </u>				
Total   Prince Developer									
THAIN DECOMENT   1, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10,									
73.6 OPERA DIASON OF THE BALL OF TEXTS AMERIES 7.10 DEVELOUE THE BALL OF TEXTS AMERICAN 7.10 DEVELOUE THE BA								<del>  </del>	
Total   Care						1 111 111			- 424
PROPERCY FROM   BATTALTINGS	_					2,393,863			3,451,950
1,002,177   1,003,177   1,003,177   1,003,177   1,003,444   64,144   64,144   6,144   64,14			_						
PRINCEPPRACTYPE	729	TRANSCOM TROOD - MAINTIGNED				670			670
PRINCEPPRACTYPE	<b>└</b> ─								
ADMORPHISMATIVE MALACKES	700	AGENT SEASING	1,963,377	620,072	\$5,797	3,670,449	64,184	•	6,411,681
ADMORPHISMATIVE MALACKES									
### PROPRIETE SANCES			•						•
### STATES			-		•				
914 ADVENTIGN DALARGERY PRES 4 ADVENTIGN DESCRIPTION OF STREET OF THE ST	911	Americando establica	207,663	•	ľ	31,256	•		220,918
### 1414 ADMINISTRATION DESIGNATION	912	ACRITICA PERS	59,800	•			-		83,800
19.1	913	CONTROL MANAGEMENT FREE		1		-	•	-	•
19.1	914	ADVENTIBURG & SONGETURG	_						
1916   Compact Excellents	918	POTOMS MEDIAL COMPINATION	68,274		•	9,421		-	74,795
1919   1919	P16	OFFEE STOCKES		•	-			-	
	017	Carnal, Angelessa	40,750	•		-		-	40,750
## 180 1 ALLOCATED OFFICERIAD	910	TRAVEL			-	-		-	
STORY CREATIONS   129,084   - 130,188   4,167   - 145,149						48,406			
SERGET SERVICES				-	16,100		-		181,149
### STORY SERVICES ANALYSIS  ### CENTRE SERVICES DESCRIPTIONSON  #### CENTRE SERVICES OFFICENCY  #### CENTRE SERVICES OFFICENCY  ###################################			-	<del></del>				-	
SECONATION CONTROL CONTROLOGY	121			-					
1925   SAPECATES BREEFIT CONTRIBUTIONS	_			-					
### STATES OF STATES OF STATES									
OTELSTRIS									
\$25	<del></del>								
SSS   CARDINATE CATEGORY   SS. 63.5     -   -   -   -   -   -   -	921								
STATE   STAT								1	
\$25   \$700   \$									
PASS     PASSES     PASSES						<del>_</del>		<del></del>	
### STATES   \$PRINTED						<del></del>			
937 GOULDER SERVICES CONTRIBUTION OF TRACET 938 CTUBE VILLIAITES									
000000000									
QUINTARY SATIFFACINGS A CONTRACTORS									
941	<del></del>								
942 GERDINAN INDIFFERENCE AND OF INSTRUMENT 4,940									
MAIN   CREATION   MAINTENNANCE   M									
PASS   REPROTEST RESERVE COMPRESSION									
SHOPHICATIVE SERVICES						— <del>-</del>			
PROPRECTIVE SERVICES - LARGES	<del>- **</del> -		_						
SAI	Tarri					_			
PROPERTY SERVICES - 0992R									
### DESTRUCTION REPORT CONTRIBUTION DESTRUCTION DESTRU									
COMPANAL EXPENSION   Company   Com						-		<del></del>	
SET   DESTRUCTION   429,465	=00								
PRIZ   CONTRACTOR ADMINISTRATES   PRIZE   PR									
982.1 COMPRESSION ASSESSION									
PART   PARTICIPATE EST LIEUT OF TAXABLE									
SAN DEST - MONOGRAPE   27,073			6,324			2,009			1,227
968 CAD DESS - MOREOWITE									
908 TAD DEST - STIGLE			27,073						27,073
007 SETENDES EXPENSES									
009 ESVERANCE ESPECIE	900			-	-	-	•	-	•
000 ESVENIUS ESPERIUS						-	-	•	-
	969	SEASONICE EMPIRES				H		-	
	962	TOTAL CHURATING EXPENSES	1,749,461		23,797	191,514		-	1,948,975
976 EXCEPT CHEARTING MAYBOOK CAME OF EED 234,916 620,072 - 3,648,935 64,896 - 6,448,676		·							
attend a standard and a standard	976	EXCESS CHEATING MAYDERS OVER 69 END	274.014	\$20,072		1,640.091	40.104		4.449.870
	لتنا					-114	-11000	است.	-,,,-

#### HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH

#### LAPLACE, LOUISIANA FINANCIAL DATA SCHEDULE

#### SEPTEMBER 30, 2012\_

				CFF				
		LOW REST	CFP	STEEDLOS GRAFT	SECTION 8	TEXA!		TOTAL
		14 ESQ.	14 872	14 1103	14 971	97 109	\$7.000 Atoms	
971	APPROXIMENT IONNINGERS		•	•	1	•	•	
8115	CAMBALIT LOSSES - MON CAPITALLISE	-		-	-		•	
972	D)			•	2,067,883	•	•	2,067,683
979 5	DAY - PORTURATE THY THE	•	•	•	1,346,693			1,349,695
974	DEPRECIATION PRODUCT	403,242	•	-		-	•	463,242
975	fitanti 164454			-			•	
974	CLATERY COMPANY AND MARKET	•						
877	DERT GALIKITÄL PARKER GOVE FORDE	•	-					
976	District Called March Statements			-		-	-	
900	207AL, 2207DHES	2,151,703	-	95,797	3,814,022			5,743,892
1001	Operating Transfers In	124,230						124,238
1002	Operating Transfers Out	-	(124,230)	•	•		*	(124,238)
1003	Operating Transfers to/free Primary Opposite	-	-		•			
2010	Total Other Pinancing Senzous (Rots)	124,238	(124,238)	•	-			-
1,000	ENGLIS DEVENUE OVER SOPRIORS	(64,098)	495,834	-	154,557	64,156	-	450,259
1100	SECURITIES EQUITY	3,780,824	1,863,135	-	273,834	-		4,647,001
12041	EQUITY TRANSPERS	419,676	(419,676)		64,154	(64,254)		-
1104	PRIOR PRESIDE ACCIDENCES	1				-		
	ENDER EQUITY	1,306,412	1,939,293	-	492,443			5,439,150
		-	-	-	-			
11190	UNITY MINITED AVAILABLE	3,614			3,170		-	6,734
11210	# THERE MANAGED SERVED	2,251	-	•	2,508	•	•	5,859
11170	ADMINISTRATIVE FRE EQUITY	-	-	-	353,626			313,424
11180	SOUSING ARRIFFMENT PAYMENTS SOUTH	-		-	130,619			128,619
11610	1100 ppocialis				-	-	-	_
11620	BULLATING PURCHESSES		495,834	-	-			495,034
11.630	PROPERTY & EQUIPMENT - DESILEM PROCESSES			•		-	•	-
11640	PURPLYONE 6 EGRIPHONE - AMERICANIAN PURCON		-				•	
11650	183400000 DESCRIPTION PROPERTY				-	÷		-
	TETRAPHICTORA STREETARES	-		-		-	•	•
	COTTO DESIG SERVICE SANGENCES	•	-	-	-	•		
22801	REPLACEMENT BODIES INCHOS FORMS		•		•			

### THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH LAPLACE, LOUISIANA

#### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012**

#### Section I: Summary of Auditors' Results:

#### FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Type of auditor's report issued.	Disclaimer of Opinion	
Internal Control over financial reporting.  Are material weaknesses identified?	Yes	_X_No
Are significant deficiencies that are not considered to be material weaknesses identified?	X Yes	None Reported
Is noncompliance that could have a material effect on the financial statements identified?	_X_Yes	No
FEDERAL AWARDS		
Internal control over major programs.  Are material weaknesses identified?	_X_Yes	No
Are significant deficiencies that are not considered to be material weaknesses identified?	_X_Yes	None Reported
Type of report issued on compliance with requirements applicable to each major program:		reported
All Major Programs:	Disclaimer of Opinion	
Are there any audit findings that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of OMB Circular A-133?	_X_Yes	No
Identification of major programs:	-	
Low Rent Public Housing Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher	CFDA No. 14 850 14 871	
Public Housing Capital Fund Program  Public Housing Capital Fund Program -  Recovery Act Funded	14 872 14 885	
. reactail stort minde	17 000	
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs:	\$300,000	
Is the auditee identified as a low-risk auditee?	Yes	_X_No

#### THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH LAPLACE, LOUISIANA

#### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012**

#### Section II: Financial Statement Findings:

#### Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs:

#### Finding 2011-01 Internal Control

#### Condition & Cause:

Forty Public Housing disbursements were selected for review during the audit. Of the forty sample items selected, the Authority was unable to provide back-up documentation for ten of the requested items

#### **Current Year Status:**

The Authority was able to provide all requested disbursements and necessary support during the fiscal year 2012 audit. This Finding is not re-stated.

#### Finding 2011-02 Fallure to Comply with State Bid Law

#### Condition & Cause:

The Housing Authority is required to retain records for public bids for a minimum of six (6) years following the purchase or completion of work, in accordance with Louisiana Revised statue 38:2212 Documentation such as requests for bids and bid proposals submitted are not being kept.

#### **Current Year Status:**

The Authority appears to be maintaining all applicable procurement records. This finding is cleared.

#### **Current Year Findings and Questioned Costs:**

#### Finding 2012-01 - Timely Completion and Submission of Annual Audit

#### Criteria:

The Authority is required to submit audited financial statements to the Louisiana Legislative Auditor's Office within six months of its fiscal year end.

#### Condition:

The Authority failed to submit audited financial statements to the Louisiana Legislative Auditor's Office within a timely manner

**Effect:** Non-compliance with HUD regulations

Cause: Insufficient control environment

Questioned Cost: None identified

### THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH LAPLACE, LOUISIANA

#### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

#### Section II: Financial Statement Findings - continued:

#### Current Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

#### Finding 2012-01 - Timely Completion and Submission of Annual Audit - continued

Recommendation:

We recommend that the Authority review procedures in order to ensure the timely completion and submission of its annual audit report to the Louisiana Legislative Auditor's Office.

Response:

The Authority will review procedures involving the timely completion of financial records and submitting of audit reports. Trina Henderson, Executive Director, expects the deficiencies which led to this Finding to be resolved by the end of fiscal year 2013.

#### Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs:

#### **Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs:**

#### Finding 2011-01 Internal Control

Public Housing Low Rent - CFDA No. 14 850a; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011, Housing Choice Vouchers - CFDA No. 14.871, Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011; Capital Fund Program - CFDA No. 14.872; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011, American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) - CFDA No. 14.885, Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011

See Finding 2011-01 under Section I) of this Schedule.

#### Finding 2011-03 Failure to Perform Background Checks on Tenants

Public Housing Low Rent - CFDA No. 14.850a; Grant period - vear ended September 30, 2011; Housing Choice Vouchers - CFDA No. 14 871; Grant period - vear ended September 30, 2011

**Condition & Cause:** 

Of the fifteen Public Housing tenant files that were selected for audit, thirteen background checks were unavailable. Of the fifteen Housing Choice Voucher tenant files that were selected for audit, five background checks were unavailable.

**Current Year Status:** 

The Authority was not able to provide necessary support to clear this finding therefore this finding is restated. See Finding 2012-02

#### THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH LAPLACE, LOUISIANA

#### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

**SEPTEMBER 30, 2012** 

#### Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

#### Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

#### Finding 2011-04 - Calculation of Portability Administrative Fees

Housing Choice Voucher Program - CFDA No. 14 871; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011

Condition & Cause:

Per the Federal Register 24 CFR part 982.355, the Housing Authority should bill the initial public housing authority an administrative fee in addition to the housing assistance payment when a tenant moves to another area ("ports"). The administrative fee, determined by HUD, is based on the Housing Authority's fair market rental rates and changes frequently. Due to the lack of documentation it is not possible to determine if the administrative fees are being updated with changes per HUD or if the administrative fees are complete and accurate.

#### **Current Year Status:**

The Authority was not able to provide necessary support to clear this finding therefore this finding is restated. See Finding 2012-10.

#### Finding 2011-05 Housing Choice Voucher HQS Inspections

Housing Choice Vouchers - CFDA No. 14 871, Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011

#### Condition & Cause:

Of the fifteen Housing Choice Voucher tenant files that were selected for audit, six files did not contain evidence that an annual inspection was performed. It was noted that the Housing Authority does not keep a log of falled inspections. This includes retaining copies of letters sent to tenants and landlords in the tenant's file

#### **Current Year Status:**

The Authority was not able to provide necessary support to clear this finding therefore this finding is restated. See Finding 2012-03.

#### Finding 2011-06 - Housing Assistance Payments Contract

Housing Choice Voucher Program - CFDA No. 14.871, Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011

#### Condition & Cause:

Per the Federal Register 24 CFR part 982 151, all landlords must sign a Housing Assistance Payments contract, HUD Form 52641. Not having a signed contract with the landlord could void the rental assistance coming from HUD. Of the 15 flies tested, six contracts were missing.

#### **Current Year Status:**

The Authority was not able to provide necessary support to clear this finding therefore this finding is restated. See Finding 2012-04.

## SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012**

## Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

## Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

## Finding 2011-07 Housing Choice Voucher Tenant Files

Housing Choice Vouchers - CFDA No. 14,871; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011

#### Condition & Cause:

The results of a review of Housing Choice Voucher tenant files are as follows:

- 1. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 5 were missing verification of tenant eligibility.
- 2. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 6 were missing the utility allowance calculation.
- 3. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 15 did not have proof of rent reasonableness.
- 4. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 10 were missing approved leases, HUD Form 52517.
- 5. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 6 did not have signed valid contracts HUD Form 52641, between the tenants and the landlords.
- 6. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 10 did not have re-examinations of income.
- 7. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 9 were missing a HUD Form 50058
- 8. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 6 were missing annual inspection reports.
- 9. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 1 was missing a social security card.
- 10. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 1 was missing a birth certificate or state issued I.D.
- 11. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 8 did not have an updated, signed release of information form
- 12. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 12 were missing third party verifications of income.
- 13. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 4 were missing signed lead based paint disclosures.

### **Current Year Status:**

The Authority was not able to provide necessary support to clear this finding therefore this finding is restated. See Finding 2012-05.

#### Finding 2011-08 - Miscalculation of Housing Assistance Payments

Housing Choice Voucher Program - CFDA No. 14 871; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011

#### Condition & Cause:

Of the fifteen files tested for the HCV program, seven files did not contain a current calculation of a HAP; therefore the calculation of payments could not be tested.

#### **Current Year Status:**

The Authority was not able to provide necessary support to clear this finding therefore this finding is restated. See Finding 2012-06.

## SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012**

## Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

## Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

## Finding 2011-09 - HUD Report 60002

Public Housing Low Rent - CFDA No. 14 850a; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011; Capital Fund Program - CFDA No. 14 872; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011; American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) - CFDA No. 14.885; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011

**Condition & Cause:** 

Each grant that is involved in development, operating or modernization assistance is required to submit HUD Form 60002, Section 3 Summary Report.

**Current Year Status:** 

The Authority was able to provide necessary support to clear this finding therefore this finding is cleared.

### Finding 2011-10 Tenant File Maintenance

Public Housing Low Rent - CFDA No. 14.850a; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011

#### **Condition & Cause:**

The results of a review of Public Housing tenant files are as follows:

- 1. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 8 were missing initial applications.
- 2. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 13 were missing landlord verification forms.
- 3. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 13 were missing community service requirement forms.
- 4. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 10 were missing approved leases, HUD Form 52517.
- 5. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 11 did not have re-examinations of income.
- 6. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 10 rent receipts did not match the lease.
- 7. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 6 were missing a HUD Form 50058.
- 8 Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 11 were missing annual inspection reports.
- 9 Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 3 did not have an updated, signed release of information form.
- 10. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 12 were missing third party verifications of income.
- 11 Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 4 were missing signed lead based paint disclosures.

#### **Current Year Status:**

The Authority was not able to provide necessary support to clear this finding therefore this finding is restated. See Finding 2012-07.

### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

**SEPTEMBER 30, 2012** 

## Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

## Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

## Finding 2011-11 Equipment and Real Property Management

Public Housing Low Rent – CFDA No. 14.850a; Grant period – year ended September 30, 2011; Capital Fund Program – CFDA No. 14.872; Grant period – year ended September 30, 2011; American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) – CFDA No. 14.885; Grant period – year ended September 30, 2011

### Condition & Cause:

We were unable to locate equipment records which compiled with HUD regulations. In addition, we were unable to verify that a physical inventory of equipment was performed.

### **Current Year Status:**

The Authority was not able to provide necessary support to clear this finding therefore this finding is restated. See Finding 2012-08.

### Finding 2011-12 - Declaration of Trust Unavailable

Public Housing Low Rent - CFDA No. 14 850a; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011

#### Condition & Cause:

During the audit, a copy of the Trust was requested by the auditors, but the Authority was not able to produce a copy of the Trust.

## **Current Year Status:**

The Authority was able to provide necessary support to clear this finding therefore this finding is cleared.

### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012**

## Section iil: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

## Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

#### Finding 2011-13 Davis-Bacon Act

Capital Fund Program – CFDA No. 14.872; Grant period – year ended September 30, 2011; American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) – CFDA No. 14.885, Grant period – year ended September 30, 2011

#### Condition & Cause:

During the period under audit the Authority had ongoing construction projects under the Capital Fund Program and the Capital Fund Stimulus Act. The Authority was not able to provide documentation of certified payrolls from the contractors performing this work, which is required by the Davis-Bacon Act.

## **Current Year Status:**

The Authority was able to provide necessary support to clear this finding therefore this finding is cleared.

### Finding 2011-14 SEMAP Certification

Housing Choice Vouchers - CFDA No. 14871; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011

#### Condition & Cause:

During the current year, the SEMAP Certification was tested for validity. The auditor found instances where the backup documentation did not match the data submitted to HUD on the certification.

### **Current Year Status:**

The Authority was not able to provide necessary support to clear this finding therefore this finding is restated. See Finding 2012-09.

## SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012**

## Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

## Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

#### Finding 2011-15 - Timely Completion and Submission of Annual Audit

Public Housing Low Rent - CFDA No. 14.850a; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011; Housing Choice Vouchers - CFDA No. 14.871; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011; Capital Fund Program - CFDA No. 14.872; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011; American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) - CFDA No. 14.885, Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011

#### Condition & Cause:

The Authority failed to make available financial records to the auditors in a timely manner in order to meet the federal and state audit filing deadline requirements.

### **Current Year Status:**

The Authority did not submit its audited financial statements to the Louisiana Legislative Auditor's Office by the state audit filing deadline. Therefore, this Finding with respect to the state audit filing requirements is re-stated as Finding 2012-01 under Section II of this Schedule.

## SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012**

## Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

#### **Current Year Findings and Questioned Costs:**

### Finding 2012-02 Failure To Perform Background Checks on Tenants

Public Housing Low Rent - CFDA No. 14.850a; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2012; Housing Choice Vouchers - CFDA No. 14.871; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2012

#### Criteria:

The Housing Authority is required to complete a background check on all household members over the age of 18 who will be assisted under any HUD program.

#### Condition:

Of the twenty-three Public Housing tenant files that were selected for audit, twenty-three background checks were unavailable. Of the twenty-one Housing Choice Voucher tenant files that were selected for audit, twenty-one background checks were unavailable. This Finding is repeated from the prior year. See Finding 2011-03.

Effect: Non-compliance with HUD regulations

Cause: Insufficient internal control procedures

**Questioned Cost:** None identified

#### Recommendation:

We recommend that the Authority complete background checks as required in a consistent manner and on a timely basis.

#### Response:

The Housing Authority will ensure that complete background checks will be provided on a timely basis. The Authority's Executive Director will assume the responsibility of reviewing the applicant's completed background checks and expects the deficiencies which led to this Finding to be resolved by the end of fiscal year 2013.

#### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

**SEPTEMBER 30, 2012** 

## Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Current Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Finding 2012-03 Housing Choice Voucher HQS Inspections

Housing Choice Vouchers - CFDA No. 14.871; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2012

#### Criteria:

Per the Federal Register 24 CFR part 982.405, inspections on tenant units must be done at least annually. Not completing inspections on a timely basis could result in unsafe living conditions to exist in dwelling units

**Condition and Question Costs:** 

Of the twenty-one Housing Choice Voucher tenant files that were selected for audit, three files did not contain any documentation of an inspection being performed during the audit period. There was one initial failed HQS inspection that was not re-inspected within the 30 day requirement. Housing assistance payments should have been abated, but were not. Housing assistance payments in the amount of \$3,538 were not abated. It was noted that the Housing Authority does not keep a log of failed inspections. This includes retaining copies of letters sent to tenants and landlords in the tenant's file. This Finding is repeated from the prior year. See Finding 2011-05.

**Effect:** Non-compliance with HUD regulations

Cause: Insufficient Internal control procedures

#### Recommendation:

We recommend that the Authority perform and document inspections annually during the tenant's recertification process. The Housing Authority should also begin tracking all failed inspections and document communication attempts with landlords and tenants in the tenant's file. The Housing Authority should also maintain signed contracts with all landlords.

#### Response:

The Housing Authority is now performing annual HQS inspections concurrent with the tenant's recertification process, using the current HUD approved inspection report. All failed inspections are being entered into a separate log and monitored to ensure that the dwelling unit meets HQS compliance. A copy of written correspondence with the tenant and landlord is placed in respective files and in the failed unit log. Trina Henderson, Executive Director, expects the deficiencies which led to this Finding to be resolved by the end of fiscal year 2013.

#### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

**SEPTEMBER 30, 2012** 

## Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Current Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Finding 2012-04 Housing Assistance Payments Contracts

Housing Choice Vouchers - CFDA No. 14 871; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2012

#### Criteria:

Federal Register 24 CFR part 982.308 requires the tenant and owner to enter a written lease, HUD Form 52641. The lease must be executed by the owner and the tenant. The Housing Authority should include a copy of the written lease in the participant's file to demonstrate compliance. Not having a signed contract with the landlord could void the rental assistance coming from HUD.

#### Condition:

Of the fifteen Housing Choice Voucher tenant files that were selected for audit, five were missing Housing Assistance Payment Contracts, HUD Form 52641. This Finding is repeated from the prior year. See Finding 2011-06.

Effect: Non-compliance with HUD regulations

Cause: Insufficient internal control procedures

**Questioned Cost:** None identified

#### Recommendation:

We recommend that the Authority maintain signed contracts with all landlords and update them as required by HUD.

#### Response:

The Authority has revised its Section 8 tenant file folders to include current leases and leases signed within the past two years. The Authority will also provide file documentation and maintenance training to its Section 8 employees. The Authority's Executive Director has assumed the responsibility of implementing the instruction and training, and expects the deficiencies which led to this Finding to be resolved by the end of fiscal year 2013.

#### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

**SEPTEMBER 30, 2012** 

#### Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

#### Current Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

#### Finding 2012-05 Housing Choice Voucher Tenant Files

Housing Choice Vouchers - CFDA No 14,871: Grant period - year ended September 30, 2012

#### Criteria:

The Code of Federal Regulations and HUD guidelines give the requirements for maintaining the tenant files for the Housing Choice Voucher program.

#### Condition:

The results of a review of Housing Choice Voucher tenant files are as follows:

- 1. Of the 21 tenant files reviewed, 5 were missing verification of tenant eligibility.
- 2. Of the 21 tenant files reviewed, 5 had no determination of gross rent
- 3. Of the 21 tenant files reviewed, 6 did not have proof of rent reasonableness.
- 4. Of the 21 tenant files reviewed, 5 were missing approved leases, HUD Form 52517.
- 5 Of the 21 tenant files reviewed, 5 did not have signed valid contracts HUD Form 52641, between the tenants and the landlords.
- 6 Of the 21 tenant files reviewed, 5 did not have re-examinations of income.
- 7. Of the 21 tenant files reviewed, 5 were missing a HUD Form 50058.
- 8 Of the 21 tenant files reviewed, 4 were missing annual inspection reports.
- 9. Of the 21 tenant files reviewed, 5 did not have an updated, signed release of information form.
- 10. Of the 21 tenant files reviewed, 6 were missing third party verifications of income.

This Finding is repeated from the pnor year. See Finding 2011-07.

Effect: Non-compliance with HUD regulations

Cause: Insufficient internal control procedures

Questioned Cost: None identified

#### Recommendation:

We recommend that the Authority utilize a standard filing system based upon a checklist and issue this to all required personnel. We recommend that supervisors and managers review, on a regular monthly basis, a random sample of all files to determine compliance with federal guidelines.

### Response:

The Authority will review its procedures for the preparation and maintenance of tenant files and, where necessary, procedures will be changed. Additional training and instruction will be provided to appropriate personnel and a process for management review will be instituted. The Authority's Executive Director has assumed the responsibility of implementing the review process and expects the deficiencies which led to this Finding to be resolved by the end of fiscal year 2013.

#### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 201**

## Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

**Current Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:** 

Finding 2012-06 Miscalculation of Housing Assistance Payments

Housing Choice Vouchers - CFDA No. 14.871; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2012

#### Criteria:

The Code of Federal Regulations and HUD guidelines give the requirements for maintaining the tenant files for the Housing Choice Voucher program.

#### Condition:

Of the twenty-one files tested for the HCV program, five files did not contain a current calculation of a HAP; therefore the calculation of payments could not be tested. This Finding is repeated from the prior year. See Finding 2011-08.

Effect: Non-compliance with HUD regulations

Cause: insufficient internal control procedures

Questioned Cost: None identified

### Recommendation:

We recommend that the Housing Authority implement and execute strengthened controls over the HAP calculation and documentation process to include standard supervisory sign-offs, quality control reviews and staff training.

#### Response:

The Housing Authority will assess its staff and consider restructuring and make requests to the State Civil Service Department to acquire additional positions. Trina Henderson, Executive Director, expects the deficiencies which led to this Finding to be resolved by the end of fiscal year 2013.

#### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012**

## Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

#### **Current Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:**

#### Finding 2012-07 Tenant File Maintenance

Public Housing Low Rent - CFDA No. 14.850a; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2012

#### Criteria:

The Code of Federal Regulations and HUD guidelines give the requirements for maintaining the tenant files for the Public Housing Program.

#### Condition:

The results of a review of Public Housing tenant files are as follows:

- 1. Of the 23 tenant files reviewed, 6 were missing initial applications.
- 2. Of the 23 tenant files reviewed, 9 were missing landlord verification forms.
- 3. Of the 23 tenant files reviewed, 23 were missing community service requirement forms.
- 4. Of the 23 tenant files reviewed, 7 did not have re-examinations of income.
- 5. Of the 23 tenant files reviewed, 8 rent receipts did not match the lease.
- 6. Of the 23 tenant files reviewed, 8 were missing a HUD Form 50058.
- 7. Of the 23 tenant files reviewed, 20 were missing annual inspection reports.
- 8. Of the 23 tenant files reviewed, 9 did not have an updated, signed release of information form.
- 9. Of the 23 tenant files reviewed, 11 were missing third party verifications of income.
- 10 Of the 23 tenant files reviewed, 15 were missing signed lead based paint disclosures.
- 11 Of the 23 tenant files reviewed, 3 were missing birth certificates or state issued identification.

This Finding is repeated from the prior year. See Finding 2011-10.

Effect: Non-compliance with HUD regulations

Cause: Insufficient internal control procedures

**Questioned Cost:** None identified

#### Recommendation:

We recommend that the Authority utilize a standard filing system based upon a checklist and issue this to all required personnel. We recommend that supervisors and managers review, on a regular monthly basis, a random sample of all files to determine compliance with federal guidelines and the Authority's policy.

#### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

**SEPTEMBER 30, 2012** 

### Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

## **Current Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:**

### Finding 2012-07 Tenant File Maintenance - continued

#### Response:

The Authority will review its procedures for the preparation and maintenance of tenant files, and where necessary, procedures will be changed. Additional training and instruction will be provided to appropriate personnel and a process for management review will be instituted. The Authority's Executive Director has assumed the responsibility of implementing the review process and expects the deficiencies which led to this Finding to be resolved by the end of fiscal year 2013.

#### Finding 2012-08 Equipment and Real Property Management

Public Housing Low Rent – CFDA No. 14.850a; Grant period – year ended September 30, 2012; Capital Fund Program – CFDA No. 14.872; Grant period – year ended September 30, 2012; American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) – CFDA No. 14.885, Grant period – year ended September 30, 2012

#### Criteria:

Equipment records should include a description of the equipment, including serial number, source and acquisition date. Existence of equipment should be verified on a periodic basis by performance of a physical inventory of equipment. A record of the physical inventory of equipment should be maintained on file (Low Rent Housing Accounting Guide, HM G 7511.1, Chapter 9, Section 2, Paragraph 11).

#### Condition:

We were unable to locate equipment records which complied with HUD regulations. In addition, we were unable to verify that a physical inventory of equipment was performed. See Finding 2011-11.

Effect: Non-compliance with HUD regulations

Cause: Insufficient control environment

**Questioned Cost:** None identified

### Recommendation:

We recommend that the Authority establish processes and procedures to ensure that equipment records are maintained in accordance with HUD regulations. Additionally, we recommend that periodic inventories of equipment are performed and records of the inventories are maintained on file.

### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

**SEPTEMBER 30, 2012** 

## Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Current Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

## Finding 2012-08 Equipment and Real Property Management - continued

#### Response:

The Authority has established procedures to ensure that equipment records are maintained in accordance with HUD regulations. The Authority will perform a penodic inventory of equipment and will maintain records of inventory results. The Finance Director will adjust accounting records to reflect the actual equipment inventory. The Authority's Executive Director has assumed the responsibility of executing the physical inventory and record retention process and expects the deficiencies which led to this Finding to be resolved by the end of fiscal year 2013.

#### Finding 2012-09 - SEMAP Certification

Housing Choice Vouchers - CFDA No. 14 871; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2012

#### Criteria:

The Code of Federal Regulations and HUD guidelines give the requirements related to the Section Eight Management Assessment Program (SEMAP) for Public Housing Agencies. Specifically, 24CFR Part 985 gives the requirements in relation to the SEMAP certification. SEMAP is used to remotely measure the Authority's performance and administration of the Housing Choice Vouchers program.

#### Conditions

During the current year audit, the SEMAP Certification and supporting documentation was requested for sudit. The auditor was unable to provide supporting documentation for all the indicators requested. Additionally, for certain indicators for which supporting documentation was provided, the auditor found instances where the backup documentation did not match the data submitted to HUD on the certification. See Finding 2011-14.

Effect: Non-compliance with HUD regulations

Cause: Failure to follow HUD regulations

**Questioned Cost:** None identified

#### Recommendation:

We recommend the Authority strengthen their internal control procedures relating to the SEMAP Certification in order to provide accurate information to HUD

## SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012**

### Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

#### Current Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

## Finding 2012 - 09 - SEMAP Certification-continued

#### Reply:

The Authority's Executive Director has assumed the responsibility of implementing and executing strengthened controls over federal Reporting requirements and expects the deficiencies which led to this Finding to be resolved by the end of fiscal year 2013.

### Finding 2012-10 Calculation of Portability Administrative Fees

Housing Choice Vouchers - CFDA No. 14.871; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2012

#### Criteria:

Per Federal Register 24 CFR part 982.355, the Housing Authority should bill the initial public housing authority an administrative fee in addition to the housing assistance payment when a tenant moves to another area ("ports"). The administrative fee, determined by HUD, is based on the Housing Authority's fair market rental rates and changes frequently.

#### Condition:

Due to the lack of documentation it is not possible to determine if the administrative fees are being updated with changes per HUD or if the administrative fees are complete and accurate. This Finding is repeated from the prior year. See Finding 2011-04

Effect: Non-compliance with HUD regulations

Cause: Insufficient control environment

**Questioned Cost:** None identified

#### Recommendation:

We recommend that the Authority maintains documentation for Portability Fees and the related HAP payments. There should also be a reconcilitation to reflect updated administrative fees in accordance with HUD guidelines.

#### Response:

The Housing Authority is recalculating the Administrative fees for ports for fiscal year 2011-2012. Trina Henderson, Executive Director, will ensure the changes in Fair Market Rents and Administrative Fees are current and are reconciled to ensure the "Rents & Fees" billed are in compliance. Trina Henderson expects the deficiencies which led to this Finding to be resolved by the end of fiscal year 2013.

## SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

**SEPTEMBER 30, 2012** 

## Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Current Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Finding 2012-11 - Environmental Review Compliance Requirement

<u>Capital Fund Program - CFDA No. 14.872; Grant period - year ended September 30. 2012</u>

<u>American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) - CFDA No. 14.885; Grant period September 30. 2012</u>

Criteria:

An environmental review must be completed for any project or activities (including those projects or activities funded by ARRA) before a recipient may acquire, rehabilitate, convert, lease, repair or construct property, or commit HUD or local funds. Environmental review procedures for entities who are assuming HUD's environmental responsibilities are contained in 24 CFR, part 58. An environmental assessment must be prepared for an activity unless the recipient determines that the activity met a criterion specified in the regulations that would exempt or exclude it from Request for Release of Funds (RROF) and environmental certification requirements (24 CFR sections 58.34 and 58.35). If the responsible entity determines that a project or activity is exempt, it must document in writing its determination for the exemption demonstrating how the conditions specified for exemption are met. Neither a recipient nor any participant in the project, including public or private nonprofit or forprofit entities, or any of their contractors, may commit HUD assistance until HUD has approved the recipient's RROF and the related certification from the responsible entity (24 CFR, section 58 22).

#### Condition:

During audit fieldwork, the Capital Fund and Capital Fund - Recovery Act programs were audited for compliance with the requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement. As part of the audit, auditors requested environmental reviews for each of the aforementioned federal programs. Authority management was unable to provide the reports upon request.

Effect: Non-compliance with HUD regulations

Cause: Insufficient control environment

**Questioned Cost:** None identified

#### Recommendation:

We recommend the Authority complete the required environmental reviews as required by HUD, and maintain the reports and supporting documentation for audit.

#### Response:

Trina Henderson, Executive Director, is taking steps to ensure that the Authority will complete and submit the required reports and will have any needed environmental review reports completed by the end of fiscal year 2013.

## SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

## Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued;

## Current Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

#### Finding 2012-12 - Public Housing Waiting List

Department of Housing and Urban Development Public and Indian Housing - CFDA 14.850a; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2012

#### Condition:

A sample of eight applicants who were housed during the fiscal year was requested for audit. Of the eight applicants selected, three were housed before other applicants who had applied before them. The Authority staff was unable to provide an explanation when asked why the applicants were housed prior to applicants who had applied before them.

#### Criteria:

24 CFR Part 960.206 and 960 208 provides the compliance requirements for administration of the Public Housing Waiting List.

Effect: Non-compliance with HUD regulations

Cause: Insufficient internal control procedures

Questioned Cost: None identified

#### Recommendation:

We recommend that the Authority create procedures to strengthen their control systems in relation to their Public Housing Waiting List.

#### Response:

The Authority will review its procedures over program admittance and will provide additional training and instruction to the appropriate personnel. The Authority's Executive Director has assumed the responsibility of implementing the review process and expects the deficiencies which led to this Finding to be resolved by the end of fiscal year 2013.